Journal of Informatics Education and Research
ISSN: 1526-4726
Vol 4 Issue 2 (2024)

Paradigm Shift from Financial Reporting to Integrated Reporting: A Strategic Analysis

Apeksha Sahay
PhD scholar, School of Management, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Bhubaneswar
Dr. Pramod Kumar Patjoshi
Associate Professor, School of Management, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Bhubaneswar
Dr. Girija Nandini
Associate Professor, School of Management, Centurion University of Technology and Management, Bhubaneswar

Abstract:
Corporations take a while to adjust to the evolving needs of information reporting procedures. According to the corporate reporting idea, businesses need to enhance their reporting procedures to satisfy the audiences for their corporate reports, which has become increasingly demanding. Non-financial reporting is necessary to address the problems of a globalized world and encourage using knowledge-driven and information-intensive business processes. Global accounting organizations and the International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) introduced integrated reporting.

Nonetheless, integrated reports' history dates back to when big businesses first started disclosing sustainability and corporate social responsibility data. Early Sustainability and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) reports laid the groundwork for modern integrated reports, which integrate financial and non-financial information to offer stakeholders a comprehensive view of a company's performance and impactpublished separately from the financial annual report. This abstract explores the evolution of reporting frameworks and the strategic implications of adopting integrated reporting for organizations. Integrated reporting integrates economic, environmental, social, and governance (ESG) information into a cohesive narrative, providing stakeholders with a comprehensive perspective on how an organization creates value, performance, and prospects. A review of the literature is used to analyze how integrated reporting has changed over time, starting with early worldwide non-financial activities and ending with the current single integrated annual report. This paper investigates the motivations driving the embrace of integrated reporting. It examines how integrated reporting aligns with the broader trends of sustainability and responsible business practices, thereby contributing to long-term value creation and resilience.

Keywords: Corporate information reporting, IIRC, Integrated Reporting, Sustainability Reporting, Corporate Social Responsibility reporting

Introduction

Conventionally prepared annual financial reports fall short of the market's ever-evolving expectations, mainly when providing retroactive financial performance data. In light of this, yearly reports created using traditional techniques fall short of stakeholder and investor expectations, as the latter group demands greater transparency from businesses due to a notable rise in environmental and social consciousness. In an age marked by rising environmental and social concerns, businesses are increasingly perceived as agents capable of effecting transformation.Politicians can sometimes struggle to get the necessary support for drastic measures.Businesses are increasingly seen as vehicles for change in a world where social and environmental problems are worsening. During the financial crisis, doubts arose regarding the reliability of corporate reporting as a source of information about the condition of companies.In recent times, there has been considerable focus on companies disclosing their environmental, social, and governance practices. Civil society closely monitors the impact of corporate activities on human rights, as well as environmental issues such as air, soil, and water pollution, both locally and globally. Corporations are increasingly held accountable for their actions throughout their entire value chains. Amidst the challenges posed by globalization, pollution, resource scarcity, and other adverse effects on present and future generations, several highly reputable professional organizations have chosen to respond by instituting non-financial reporting programs. Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) aspects of their operations are being voluntarily reported on by many constantly rising businesses. Enterprise Social Governance (ESG) disclosures are voluntary forms of corporate social responsibility that allow businesses to showcase their efforts beyond mere compliance. Information on governance, risks and opportunities, social and environmental challenges, management caliber, and brands and reputation has yet to be regularly provided, and dependability is provided in corporate annual reports. The emergence of a company's responsibility beyond its financial obligation to its shareholders is supported by several theories and justifications, including increased awareness among stakeholders and management, environmental pressures becoming more evident, policy shortcomings in safeguarding public goods and tangible hazards, such as those pertaining to reputation. Stakeholders (employees, local communities, non-governmental organizations, customers, and investors) are questioning management and shareholders more and more about whether a company's governance structures (ESG) meet societal expectations and whether or not its business practices fully account for the environmental and social dimensions of its operations. A more comprehensive range of ecological, social and governance (ESG) metrics are included in sustainability reporting, whereas the primary focus of financial reporting is economic performance. This change reflects the increasing understanding among stakeholders, investors, and businesses alike that non-financial aspects are crucial to resilience and long-term performance.The shift to more sustainable reporting has many advantages, but it also comes with some difficulties. A significant issue that prevents business consistency and comparability is the need for more reporting frameworks and metrics standardization.

Furthermore, to increase credibility and confidence, there is a need for increased assurance and verification of reported data. The state of corporate ESG disclosure is complicated. In the first place, the widespread use of GRI principles is homogenizing the sector; on the other hand, business reports are becoming more and more difficult to compare, contain hundreds of pages of material, yet the quality of the information presented is not always sufficient.One significant criticism is that the report's value is limited by the ambiguity surrounding what information is and is irrelevant to the company's operations. Presently, integrated reporting—that is, reporting on sustainability included in the annual or financial report—is what comes next forward the practice of reporting on many issues. Integrated reporting aims to promote a more effective integration of ESG elements into the company's strategy by combining the combining the ESG and financial aspects of a company's performance into a single report. Using a new corporate disclosure organization is about implementing an internal reform.An integrated report's objective is "for companies to explain to providers of financial capital their ability to create value in the near, medium, and long term" (Paul Druckman, CEO of the International Integrated Reporting Council, IIRC).

The literature review is done in three phases: 1.Non-financial reporting's surge practices 2. Swift from multifaceted to single reporting, and 3. Drivers of integrated reporting.

Literature review

1. Non-financial reporting's surge practices

The evolution of business disclosure has seen significant shifts in focus due to changing economic situations, legal requirements, and public expectations. Corporate reporting was mostly limited to financial measures before the latter part of the twentieth century, emphasizing assets and returns for shareholders. However, investors demanded more information about how their money was being used as companies had internal fund problems and became more dependent on the external capital markets to meet their financial demands.

Corporate reporting procedures changed due to the push for openness, moving away from a limited emphasis on financial stewardship and toward a more comprehensive and goal-oriented strategy. Businesses realized how critical it was to give investors thorough information about their plans, risks, and effects on the environment and society and their financial performance. The intense competition between businesses for cash in the international market, where investors demanded guarantees that their money was being used wisely and efficiently, was the driving force behind this change.

Furthermore, a growing understanding of the necessity of corporate accountability beyond shareholders evolved as globalization progressed and businesses expanded their operations across borders. Stakeholders, including workers, clients, local communities, and governments, started to demand more accountability and transparency from companies about their social and environmental practices.

In response to these evolving expectations, professional organizations and regulatory bodies took action to promote non-financial reporting initiatives. Organizations such as the Federation of European Accountants and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board played a crucial role in developing standards and guidelines for reporting on non-financial aspects of corporate performance, such as social responsibility, the environment, and governance procedures.

The financial crisis of the late 2000s, which exposed the flaws in conventional profit-maximizing strategies, further highlighted the need for a more sustainable approach to business. As rating agencies like Moody's and Standard & Poor's realized how vital environmental, social, and governance (ESG) aspects are when determining the level of risk and business performance, they added sustainability factors to their evaluations.

Concurrently, worldwide endeavors like the United Nations Global Compact Leaders' Summit underscored the criticality of sustainability and non-financial reporting, imploring corporations to incorporate environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors within their reporting procedures and company strategy.

The corporate reporting landscape has changed dramatically due to these shifts, with businesses realizing the value of giving interested parties access to non-financial details. An organization's performance, impact, and commitments to sustainability and ethical business practices are comprehensively reflected in a modern corporate report, which is no longer merely a financial document. This change reflects a broader understanding that companies have a social duty to operate to benefit the environment and society while also creating long-term wealth for shareholders.

1. Swift from multifaceted to single reporting

A vital tool for businesses trying to make their way into a sustainable global economy is sustainability reporting. It includes establishing goals aligned with long-term financial success while guaranteeing adherence to environmental and social responsibilities. The company's dedication to sustainable practices is fueled by this process, which incorporates the requirements and expectations of other stakeholders and shareholders.

Sustainability reports, sometimes called ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) reports, have historically been released as appendices to annual reports or independently from financial reports. There isn't much of a correlation between economic and non-financial reporting. This fragmented methodology poses obstacles for interested parties who aim to thoroughly assess an organization's achievements and arrive at well-informed conclusions.

It can be intimidating and time-consuming for stakeholders to review several reports, some of which may be hundreds of pages long. Stakeholders' capacity to develop a thorough understanding of the business's performance and sustainability initiatives may be hampered by this fragmentation.

There has been a push for a greater degree of non-financial and financial integration reporting in recognition of these problems. To present a more comprehensive picture of a business's operations, results, and impacts, the Integrated Reporting System (IRS) represents a change in corporate reporting paradigm. By providing a cohesive story that helps stakeholders better understand the relationship between financial results and sustainability activities, the IRS aims to close the knowledge gap between economic and non-financial data.

Organizations can increase stakeholder confidence, accountability, and transparency by using an integrated reporting strategy. A company's financial performance, governance frameworks, social activities, environmental effects, and long-term plans are thoroughly summarized in integrated reports. A more thorough knowledge of how sustainability initiatives affect resilience and value development over time is made possible by this unified perspective.

Moreover, integrated reporting pushes businesses to consider how financial and non-financial aspects are related when making decisions. It encourages a move toward long-term value creation, risk management, and stakeholder involvement as top priorities in sustainable business models. Companies may enhance their ability to communicate their comprehensive value-creation strategies and enable stakeholders to make thoughtful choices that are in line with sustainable development objectives by providing both financial and non-financial information in an integrated and compelling manner.

The Integrated Reporting System (IRS) represents a change in corporate reporting paradigm, aiming to align financial performance with environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations and providesstakeholders with an extensive understanding of a company's value creation process. Integrated reporting integrates various dimensions of an organization's operations, including strategy, risk, governance, and sustainability, into a single cohesive framework.

Several key principles and components characterize integrated reporting:

i. Integrated Thinking: Organizations are encouraged by integrated reporting to take an integrated approach to decision-making, considering the interdependencies between governance, financial, environmental, and social aspects.

ii. Capitals Approach: Organizations use and affect several types of capital, such as financial, manufactured, human, intellectual, natural, and social capital. This is acknowledged by integrated reporting. It highlights how these capitals should be managed and how they contribute to wealth generation.

iii. Strategic Objectives and Value Creation: Integrated reporting explains how a company's strategic goals go beyond short-term profits to provide long-term value for its stakeholders.
iv. Transparency and Trust: By giving stakeholders a comprehensive understanding of the organization's governance and performance procedures, integrated reporting builds trust and accountability while promoting openness.
v. Flexibility and Innovation: Organizations are encouraged by integrated reporting to implement adaptable reporting frameworks that represent their distinct business models and stakeholder requirements. It also promotes the application of cutting-edge technology to improve reporting procedures.
vi. Forward-Looking Information: Integrated reporting incorporates information that looks ahead, including risk assessments, strategic goals, and upcoming opportunities and challenges, in addition to previous financial data.
vii. The International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) is the global advocate for integrated reporting. It created the Integrated Reporting Framework, which offers instructions on how to put integrated reporting practices and concepts into effect. The framework strongly emphasizes the necessity of clearly outlining the organization's value generation process and how it affects different stakeholders.

Following the Johannesburg Earth Summit, the King II report introduced the idea of integrated reporting for the first time in South Africa. Listed businesses on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE) must submit integrated sustainability reports under subsequent incarnations like King III. The creation of an internationally recognized integrated reporting framework was accelerated by the IIRC's founding in 2010 and resulted in the release of the first integrated reporting framework in 2013.

In general, integrated reporting is a noteworthy progression in corporate reporting methodologies to furnish stakeholders with a more all-encompassing and significant comprehension of an entity's operational efficiency and value-generation procedure. Integrated reporting improves accountability, transparency, and decision-making for organizations and their stakeholders through the integration of non-financial and financial data into a single reporting framework.

2. Drivers of integrated reporting

Integrated reporting, which offers businesses a comprehensive framework to explain their value creation process and respond to changing stakeholder needs, signifies a revolutionary change in corporate reporting standards. It's essential to comprehend the factors influencing the adoption of integrated reporting before attempting to determine whether it represents corporate reporting's future.

i. Economic Rationales: The adoption of integrated reporting is significantly influenced by financial reasons. Businesses understand the value of demonstrating their dedication to generating long-term value and cutting the proprietary expenses related to using different reporting frameworks. The institutional features of the nation in which a corporation is headquartered can also influence integrated reporting.
ii. Societal Rationales: Integrated reporting also meets the need for accountability and transparency in society. Organizations embrace integrated reporting to promote long-term relationships and establish trust among stakeholders, driven by stakeholder considerations such as the need to retain legitimacy within the institutional environment.
iii. ESG Considerations: Investors are growing more and more focused on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors. Investor perspectives on long-term risks and opportunities are shaped by various factors, including recent COVID-19 pandemic, scarcity of resources, climate change, and regulatory requirements.Companies that put an emphasis on ESG performance usually beat their rivals in the market and in terms of financial returns, attracting capital from investors searching for morally and environmentally sound investment opportunities.
iv. Proliferation of Reporting Frameworks: The widespread use of ESG reporting frameworks has resulted in difficulties with reporting uniformity and comparability. By offering a thorough and uniform structure that supports disclosures mandated by numerous external reporting standards and frameworks, integrated reporting solves this problem. By doing this, consistency in reporting procedures and report comparability are guaranteed.
v. Adaptability and Flexibility: One notable feature of integrated reporting is its flexibility and adaptability, which enables businesses to tailor their disclosures to various stakeholders' needs and reporting frameworks. Because of this flexibility, companies can streamline their reporting procedures and increase transparency by combining financial, environmental, social, and governance disclosures into a single report.

In summary, the issues raised by traditional reporting practices and the growing importance of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors can be effectively addressed by integrated reporting. In today's dynamic business environment, integrated reporting becomes a potent tool for organizations to communicate their value creation process and meet the changing expectations of stakeholders by addressing the need for standardized, comprehensive reporting frameworks and aligning economic and societal rationales.

Conclusion

Corporate reporting now includes non-financial disclosures and decision-oriented data instead of just financial measures. This change indicates a wider accountability to stakeholders beyond shareholders and is motivated by the need for money and investor demand. Regulations and initiatives like sustainability reporting have accelerated this trend by highlighting openness and ethical company practices. It is important to balance long-term profitability with social responsibility and environmental care by incorporating sustainability reporting. However, these reports are often presented in isolation without considering both financial and non-financial aspects. By coordinating financial and ESG elements, the Integrated Reporting System (IRS) aims to close this gap by offering a thorough picture of the effectiveness of an organization and encouraging comparability and transparency in disclosures. The King corporate governance codes in South Africa align with the integrated reporting framework that the International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) developed, which strongly emphasizes stakeholder value creation. This methodology cultivates openness, credibility, and cogent conveyance of an entity's tactics, hazards, and endeavors to generate worth. A revolutionary change in corporate reporting, integrated reporting pushes businesses to assess their methods for generating value in the short, medium, and long terms. Both societal and economic reasons, including stakeholder expectations and signals and cost considerations, influence the decision to implement integrated reporting. Due to the relationship between market performance, financial returns, and an emphasis on environmental sustainability, investors increasingly prioritize ecological factors when making decisions. The diversity of ESG frameworks, however, makes reporting consistency more difficult. One distinctive feature of integrated reporting is its comprehensive framework, which complies with several reporting standards and encourages comparability and transparency in disclosures.

References:

1. Baron. (2014, June 25). The Evolution of Corporate Reporting for Integrated Performance. 30th Round Table on Sustainable Development, OECD Head Quarter, Paris.
2. Demirel, & Erol. (2016). Investigation of Integrated Reporting As a New Approach of Corporate Reporting1. International Journal of Business and Social Research, 06(10).
3. Dragu Ioana & Tiron-Tudor Adriana, 2013. "New Corporate Reporting Trends. Analysis On The Evolution Of Integrated Reporting," Annals of Faculty of Economics, University of Oradea, Faculty of Economics, vol. 1(1), pages 1221-1228, July.
4. Islam, Dr. (2017). Corporate Reporting Concept and the Emergence of Non-financial Information Reporting: A Literature Review. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 8(8).
5. Lakhani, & Herbert. (n.d.). Islam, Dr. (2017). Corporate Reporting Concept and the Emergence of Non-financial Information Reporting: A Literature Review. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 8(8). South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences, Article 2222–3436.
6. Needles, B. E., Powers, M., Frigo, M. L., &Shigaev, A. (2018, August 21). Chapter 5 Integrated Reporting and Sustainability Reporting: A Global Assessment. Performance Measurement and Management Control: The Relevance of Performance Measurement and Management Control Research, 93–120. https://doi.org/10.1108/s1479-351220180000033006
7. Adams, C. A., & Frost, G. R. (2008). Integrating sustainability reporting into management practices. Accounting Forum, 32(4), 288-302.
8. Eccles, R. G., &Krzus, M. P. (2010). One report: Integrated reporting for a sustainable strategy. John Wiley & Sons.
9. Global Reporting Initiative (GRI). (2021). GRI Standards.
10. Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). (2017). Recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures.
11. World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD). (2019). Reporting matters: WBCSD’s review of member sustainability and integrated reports.
12. Smith, J., & Johnson, A. (2023). Advancing Non-financial Reporting Practices: A Critical Review. Journal of Sustainable Business, 10(2), 123-140.
13. Friedman, Milton (1970), “The Social Responsibility of Business Is to Increase Its Profits”, The New York Times, September 13, 32–33, 122–26.
14. Demski, J., (1982). Managerial Incentives, in A. Rappaport, (ed.), Information for Decision Making, Prentice- Hall
15. Gjesdal, F. (1981). Accounting for Stewardship, Journal of Accounting Research, *19*(1), 208-231.

16. Harris, M. & Raviv, A. (1978). Some Results on Incentive Contracts with Application to Education and Employment, Health Insurance and Law Enforcement, American Economic Review, *68*(1), 20-30.
17. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: managerial behavior, agency costs, and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics, *3*(4), 305-360.
18. Laughlin, R. C. (1985). Insights into the Nature and Application of Critical Theoretic Methodological Approach for Understanding and Changing Accounting Systems and their Organizational Contexts, Paper presented at the Interdisciplinary Perspectives in Accounting Conference (University of Manchester, July 8-10)
19. THE FUTURE OF CORPORATE REPORTING. (2013). ICPAS-IIRC Roundtable Special Report.
20. Soriya, S., & Rastogi, P. (2021, February 22). A systematic literature review on integrated reporting from 2011 to 2020. Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting, *20*(3/4), 558–579. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-09-2020-0266
21. Robinson, J. & Tinker, J. (1998). Reconciling ecological, economic and social imperatives. In: Schnurr, J., Holtz, S. (Eds.), The Cornerstone of Development: Integrating Environmental, Social and Economic Policies, International Development Research Centre, Ottawa.
22. Deloitte, (2011). Integrated Reporting: A Better View*?* , Global Sustainability and Climate Services.
23. Dumay, J., Bernardi, C., Guthrie, J. and Demartini, P. (2016), “Integrated reporting: a structured literature review”, Accounting Forum, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 166-185.
24. De Villiers, C., Hsiao, P.C.K. and Maroun, W. (2017), “Developing a conceptual model of influences around integrated reporting, new insights and directions for future research”, Meditari Accountancy Research, Vol. 25 No. 4, pp. 450-460.
25. https://integratedreporting.ifrs.org
26. India Adopts IR. (2020, December). *AICL*, *02*.