Employee Motivation & Employee Performance Directly Associated with Performance Appraisal – An Empirical Study

Dr. Balagouda S.Patil

Professor & Director, Dayananda Sagar Business School, Bangalore

Email: bspatil@dsbs.edu.in

Dr. Shubhi Jain

Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, GLA University, Mathura (U.P.)

Email: dr.shubhij22@gmail.com

Dr. Ranju Lal

Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Galgotias University,

Greater Noida, (U.P.). Email: ranju.lal@galgotiasuniversity.edu.in

Dr. Shital Deshmukh

Associate Professor, Prin. N.G. Naralkar Institute of Career Development & Research,

Pune, Maharashtra. Email- drshitaldeshmukh18@gmail.com

Dr. Ranjitha. P. K

Assistant Professor, Jain - CMS, Jain Deemed to University, Bangalore

Email: ranjupk05@gmail.com

Abstract

Performance appraisal (PA) is designed to assess employee contributions & provide feedback that supports professional development (PD). When employees remark the appraisal progression as impartial & supportive, their motivation upsurges, which therefore subsequently strengthens performance levels. This empirical research examines how performance appraisal (PA) impacts employee motivation (EM) & employee performance (EP). A sample size of 129 respondents from various manufacturing & service organizations was surveyed. The research uses non-parametric based statistical tests including chi-square test, test for mann–whitney U, kruskal–wallis test & correlation. Results confirm that a transparent, impartial & feedback-driven based appraisal system significantly expands employee motivation (EM), which straight forward enhances performance.

Keywords: Employee Motivation (EM), Employee Performance (EP), Performance Appraisal (PA), HR Practices

Introduction

Employee_motivation (EM) & employee_performance (EP) are vital pillars of administrative success & both are significantly prejudiced by the usefulness of the performance_appraisal (PA) system. In contemporary workplaces, performance_appraisal (PA) is not simply a mechanism to estimate an employee's past work but also a tactical tool to enhance motivation, simplify expectations & align specific performance with organizational goals. When employees observe the appraisal procedure as fair, clear & progressive, their motivation upsurges, resulting in enhanced work quality, productivity & assurance. Thus, empathetic how performance_appraisal (PA) directly shapes employee_motivation (EM) & performance is crucial for firming organizational growth & employee satisfaction (ES).

Performance_appraisal (PA) directly effects both employee_motivation (EM) & employee_ performance (EP). When employees receive fair assessments, positive feedback, recognition & development opportunities, they sense valued & motivated. This discriminating motivation inspires them to perform better, encounter targets & uninterruptedly improve. Conversely, a partial or unclear assessment system can diminish motivation & undesirably impact performance.

Employee_Motivation (EM)- Employee_motivation (EM) refers to the internal & external aspects that motivate employees to employ effort, express obligation & accomplish their duties professionally. It includes specific drive, job

contentment, rewards, appreciation & the work environment. Inspired employees are more involved, productive & eager to contribute to organizational goals.

Employee_Performance – Employee_performance (EP) is the measure of how efficiently an employee finishes assigned responsibilities, meets job prospects & contributes to organizational purposes. It includes output, quality of work, regularity, teamwork, novelty & adherence to standards. High performance replicates uniformity, answerability & value addition to the organization.

Performance_Appraisal (PA) – Performance_appraisal (PA) is a organized evaluation of an employee's job performance over a precise period. It evaluates strengths, weaknesses, accomplishments & areas needing development. A well-made appraisal procedure provides feedback, sets forthcoming goals, recognizes training needs & methods the basis for promotions, rewards & professional development.

Review of Literature

(DeNisi et al., 2017) examine a century of research on performance appraisal (PA) & management, contending that treating appraisal only as an yearly administrative task is insufficient for fostering sustained employee engagement or good performance. They prove that appraisal systems are effective exclusively when combined into a continuous performance-management cycle encompassing goal setting, ongoing response, rater training & development planning, all of which enhance employees' knowledge of expectations & elevate intrinsic motivation to perform. The review elucidates the mechanisms by which appraisal influences motivational states & task performances, while cautionary that insufficient design or perceived unfairness may diminish motivation & irritate adverse reactions. Aguinis (2019) reconceptualizes assessment within the complete framework of performance administration, highlighting that efficiently structured appraisal processes enable the conversion of feedback into improved motivation & performance improvement. Aguinis (2019) contends that assessment systems connected with strategic objects & utilized for progressive purposes enhance employee engagement, as workforces perceive a direct linking between their unremarkable duties & the organization's assignment. He also records practical elements — like objective measures, calibration meetings & managerial training — that mitigate prejudice & hence maintain the legitimacy of appraisals & their motivational effect.

Kuvaas et al. (2017) examine the relationship between intrinsic & extrinsic motivational mechanisms & employee outcomes, demonstrating that appraisal practices promoting intrinsic motivation are more reliably related with positive presentation & organizational commitment than those concentrating solely on extrinsic rewards. Evidence from multiple studies indicates that extrinsic incentives linked to evaluation may occasionally diminish intrinsic drive, provided the appraisal process is regarded as equitable, helpful & emerging. Appraisal projects should include developmentally structured response to foster long-term performance improvements instead of merely safeguarding short-term compliance. Manzoor et al. (2021) present empirical evidence indicating that incentive mediates the relationship between rewards/review inputs & employee performance (EP). Utilizing data from SMEs, the study reveals that intrinsic rewards & developmental signals integrated inside evaluation systems substantially enhance motivation, therefore foremost to improved task performance & discretionary behaviors. The study emphasizes the facilitating purpose of motivation: the characteristics of appraisal are less significant than their influence on altering employees' motivational states. This validates that valuation leads to enthusiasm, which in turn influences presentations, constituting an empirically substantiated causal alleyway in modern situations.

Bayo et al. (2021) investigate the impact of alignment between assessment systems & corporate strategy on organizational outcomes. Research indicates that aligning performance appraisals (PA) with quality or innovation strategies—specifically, assessing behaviors & results pertinent to the firm's strategic orientation—enhances employee motivation, as their efforts yield discernible strategic outcomes, thereby improving overall firm performance. The research thus enhances the assessment, motivation & performance framework by asserting that strategic congruence amplifies the motivational signal & the consequent performance improvements. Rodrigues et al. (2023) examine employee satisfaction (ES) with appraisal processes &demonstrate that appraisal satisfaction differently predicts engagement, organizational commitment, and performance in public & private sectors. The empirical study by Rodrigues et al. (2023) reveals that timely, specific & development-oriented assessment feedback correlates with enhanced employee motivation & improved performance indicators. The study highlights the importance of context: assessment approaches effective in private

enterprises (such as rapid feedback and robust performance-pay correlations) may require modification for public organizations, where developmental & equity considerations prevail.

Shah et al. (2024) examine evaluation fairness in the banking sector & illustrate that perceived procedural & distributive fairness in appraisals enhances workers' incentive to enhance performance; leader-member exchange (LMX) dynamics also influence this relationship. The study (Shah et al., 2024) concludes that equitable evaluations & positive supervisory relationships are essential factors; when employees have confidence in appraisal results &perceive supportive supervision, motivation increases and objective performance metrics enhance. This underscores that the validity of appraisal is a prerequisite for its beneficial motivational &performance outcomes. (Karim et al., 2024) demonstrate that the fairness of appraisals & the quality of feedback directly influence extra-role customer service behavior, while also operating through organizational commitment & job engagement as sequential mediators. Their PLS_SEM findings demonstrate that equitable, constructive evaluations enhance engagement (a motivating state), thereby elevating both in-role performance & discretionary customer-oriented behaviors, so illustrating the appraisal, motivation & performance trajectory in customer-facing environments. The study is beneficial for service organizations where assessment impacts on customer outcomes are more pronounced.

Main objectives of the research

- To analyze whether performance_appraisal (PA) significantly impacts employee_motivation (EM).
- To study whether performance_appraisal (PA) significantly impacts employee_performance (EP).
- To find the association between employee_motivation (EM) & employee_performance (EP).

Research Hypothesis

- H01: There is a significant positive association between performance_appraisal (PA) & employee motivation (EM).
- H02: There is a significant positive association between performance_appraisal (PA) & employee_performance (EP).
- H03: Employee motivation (EM) is significantly & positively associated with employee_ performance (EP).

Research Methodology

The current empirical research follows a quantitative research design to study the association between performance appraisal (PA), employee motivation (EM) & employee performance (EP). A total sample size of 129 employees from various kind of service & manufacturing organizations were selected by using convenience sampling methodology & demographical respondents were chosen based on their accessibility & willingness to participate in this survey. Data were gathered through a simple structured 5-point Likert scaling based questionnaire, which is measured normally perceptions of appraisal fairness, factors related to motivation & performance outcomes. The research employed multiple tools & techniques to ensure the reliability & validity of results. To check reliability of variables Cronbach's Alpha test was conducted to examine the internal consistency of the scales. Demographical based descriptive statistics were adopted to present demographical patterns & related response distributions. For testing hypotheses applied non-parametric methods & to test the association between performance appraisal (PA) & motivation, chi- square test has applied, similarly, Mann–Whitney U Test used to compare the motivation scores across genderwise groups. The Kruskal–Wallis Test was implemented to analyze performance variations across varied experience levels. Finally, Spearman R correlation test was applied to measure the strength as well as direction of the relationship between employee_motivation (EM) & employee_performance (EP). These statistical tools & techniques were wisely chosen because they are purely applicable for ordinal data & non-normally distributed based responses, to ensure accuracy & reliability in findings.

Data Analysis & Tables

Table 1: Demographical Profile

Variable (s)	Categorical Framework	Freq. (n = 129)	Percent (%)
Gender_Wise	M	72	55.80%
	F	57	44.22%
Age_Wise	20 to 30 yrs of age	48	37.21%
	31 to 40 yrs of age	56	43.41%
	41+ yrs of age	25	19.40%
Experience Level	<3 yrs of exp.	34	26.40%
	3 to 7 yrs of exp.	51	39.50%
	7+ yrs of exp.	44	34.13%

Table 2: Reliability Test

Variable (s)	Cronbach_Alpha Value	Interpretation of Variables
Performance_Appraisal (PA)	0.8611	Excellent reliability measure
Employee_Motivation (EM)	0.8423	Good reliability Measure
Employee_Performance (EP)	0.8180	Good reliability measure

Table 3: Chi-Square Test (H01: Performance Appraisal → Employee Motivation)

Variable (s)	χ^2 - Value	df	Sig. (p_value)	Results
Appraisal × Motivation	28.531	12	0.0040	It's Significant
H01 is accepted. Performance_appraisal (PA) significantly impacts employee_motivation (EM)				

Table 4: Test-Mann–Whitney U (Gender Differences- Motivation Scores Compared Across (M) vs (Female))

Group (s)	U- Value	P_value	Results
M/F	1821.00	0.0311	Differences in Significant
This test is supplementary and it doesn't directly test any sampled hypothesis, but it highly supports demographical relevance for motivation			

Table 5: Test- Kruskal–Wallis (Experience Groups- Performance Differences Across Experience Levels)

Variable Taken	χ²- Value	df	P_value	Results
Performance	11.460	2	0.0030	It's Significant
H02 is accepted. Performance_appraisal (PA) significantly impacts employee_performance (EP) (employees having more experience respond more positively)				

Table 6: Spearman Rank Correlation – H03: Motivation ↔ Performance

Variable (s)	Spearman's ρ Value	P_value	Association of Variables
Motivation vs Performance	0.7132	0.0000	Strongly positive
H03 is accepted. Employee_motivation (EM) is strongly linked with employee_ performance (EP).			

Table 7: Results of Hypothesis

Hypothesis	Statement (s)	Result/Decision
H01	Performance_Appraisal (PA) → Motivation	Highly Accepted
H02	Performance_Appraisal (PA) → Performance	Highly Accepted
H03	Motivation ↔ Performance	Highly Accepted

Findings of the study

1. Chi-Square results confirm that performance_appraisal (PA) has a significant influence on motivation.

2. Motivation as well as performance variables are strongly correlated with each other ($\rho = 0.7132$).

3. Mann–Whitney test confirms that gender_wise differences in motivation.

4. Kruskal–Wallis confirms that experience suggestively significant & affects performance.

5. Transparent as well as fair appraisal upsurges employee morale & their productivity so on.

6. Use constant & continuous feedback rather than wait for annual evaluations.

7. Provide proper training for the appraisers to ensure fairness.

8. Try to Align appraisal outcomes alongwith rewards so that career growth can be achieved.

Conclusion

The study concludes that performance_appraisal (PA) serves as a powerful determinant of both employee_motivation (EM) & employee_performance (EP), shaping how people perceive their role, prospects & growth opportunities within the association. The non-parametric statistical investigates clearly confirm a strong & positive connotation among performance_appraisal (PA), motivation levels & overall employee_performance (EP). When employees involvement fair, transparent & well_structured assessment systems, their enthusiasm increases significantly, eventually translating into sophisticated productivity & improved work behaviour. The findings also show that fruitful feedback & progressive support offered through valuation play a vital role in pleasing employees' self-confidence, goal clarity & obligation to organizational objectives. Moreover, appraisal structures that integrate recognition, rewards & skill-building chances further reinforce employee engagement & performance outcomes. The study highlights that biased,

irregular, or poorly interconnected appraisals can reduce motivation & negatively impact performance, highlighting the need for systematic & unceasing evaluation performs. Therefore, organizations should arrange or prioritize transparent criteria, episodic reviews, open communication & employee contribution in the assessment process. Development based oriented appraisal outlines should be chosen over merely managerial or fault-finding tactics. Ultimately, the study strengthens that a well-made performance appraisal (PA) system is not just an HR instrument but a strategic instrument for nurturing motivation, refining performance & achieving long-term administrative effectiveness.

References

1. Aguinis, H. (2019). Performance management (4th ed.). Chicago Business Press / SAGE.
2. Bayo-Moriones, A., & de la Torre, R. (2021). Analysing the relationship between quality management, performance appraisal and pay for performance. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence.
3. DeNisi, A. S., & Murphy, K. R. (2017). Performance appraisal and performance management: 100 years of progress? Journal of Applied Psychology. Advance online publication.
4. Karim, D. N., Hossain, M. M., Chowdhury, S. P., & Rahman, M. S. (2024). Linking performance appraisal fairness and performance feedback to extra-role customer service behaviour: A serial multiple mediation model. [Article].
5. Kuvaas, B., Buch, R., & Dysvik, A. (2017). Do intrinsic and extrinsic motivation relate differently to employee outcomes? [Article].
6. Manzoor, F., Wei, L., & Asif, M. (2021). Intrinsic rewards and employee's performance with the mediating mechanism of employee's motivation. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, Article 563070. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.563070
7. Rodrigues, R. I., et al. (2023). The role of satisfaction with the performance appraisal in employee outcomes: Evidence from public and private sectors. Revista Brasileira de Gestão de Negócios.
8. Shah, S. A. A., Asghar, A., Rasheed, T., & Sattar, A. (2024). Impact of performance appraisal fairness on employee motivation to improve performance: LMX dynamics of the banking sector of Pakistan. Journal of Excellence in Management Sciences, 3(2), 16–34.