Enhancing Sustainable Investment Decision-Making: A Case Study of Green ETFs and Bonds Using the Markowitz

Tuba Mahfooz
Research Scholar, Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad
Email: rsm2023001@iiita.ac.in

Dr. Pragya Singh
Assistant Professor, Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad
Email: pragyabhardwaj@iiita.ac.in

Abstract

This paper examines the relative performance of green Exchange-Traded Funds (ETFs) and green bonds in terms of the Markowitz Framework, a cornerstone of Modern Portfolio Theory. Green ETFs proved to have a better risk-return profile, achieving a positive return of 2.58% with moderate risk, which reflects their advantages in diversification and liquidity. On the other hand, green bonds returned -1.22%, illustrating that they are more focused on environmental impact than financial return. These results underline the importance of ETFs as a strong option for long-term investors who seek sustainability and profitability at the same time. This research further explores systemic challenges, including the lack of liquidity and standardization in green bonds, highlighting the necessity of supportive regulatory frameworks. The implications of this study guide policymakers and investors in optimizing sustainable portfolios, balancing economic growth with environmental responsibility. Future research directions include comparisons across a broader range of ESG-compliant instruments, longitudinal studies of market dynamics, and the application of advanced analytical models to predict future trends in sustainable finance. By providing actionable insights into enhancing decision-making in green finance, this study adds to the fast-growing body of literature on sustainable investments.

1. Introduction

Case studies are the most important tool for research in social sciences studies of complex, context-dependent phenomena (Elman et al., 2016). It provides a very robust framework of analysis of high-profile cases that traditional quantitative methods may not capture the major involved (Strawn et al., 2018). This study applied a case study method to assess the relative performance of individual green bonds and green ETFs within the Markowitz Framework that underlies Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT). As (Flyvbjerg, 2011) states, case studies are particularly apt in capturing subtle nuances in real-world behavior.

Indeed, this recent surge in the attention and popularity of green finance globally places investment decisions in the spotlight as there are skyrocketing numbers of ESG-focused investments and policies such as the Paris Agreement (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2021; Kaminker, 2015). Instruments of green finance, which include green ETFs and bonds, are one of the necessary tools for meeting sustainability objectives alongside financial gains (Flammer, 2021). These tools are

used to have the portfolios of governments and private investors aligned to environmental goals by diversifying risks(Hill, 2020).

Building from (Younas, 2024), this paper works toward an integrative perception of green investment channels. A study of detailed case studies in terms of green ETFs and bonds is thus required for actionable guidance on policy levels as well as investors' considerations (Elman et al., 2016). Furthermore, case studies can place the relevant context to understand market forces governing green investments' performance (VanWynsberghe & Khan, 2007). These would include the economic conditions of the country, the level of political stability, and the regulatory framework-most affecting green finance trends(Liaw, 2020).

It relates to the Markowitz Framework and offers a scope for effective risk-adjusted returns in consonance with environmental objectives. This information would be pivotal for the decision-maker when considering the right amount of economic growth with environmental sustainability (Sabbaghi, 2011b).

2. Literature Review

This stream of case study methodology has its inception in early sociological practices, involving qualitative inquiry (Kroeber, 1930; Meyer & Whyte, 1946). However, contemporary case studies use advanced quantitative methods to address concerns regarding generalizability and rigor (Elman et al., 2016; Yin, 2016). As(VanWynsberghe & Khan, 2007) case studies are bounded, which allows case studies to focus very intensely on specific phenomena. In green finance, this can be the approach applied through complex instruments, like bonds and ETFs, with interlinks among sustainability and market forces goals(Flammer, 2021).

Green bonds have become essential instruments in climate finance, providing the same financial payback as the conventional investment while solving the environmental problems in the world (Flammer, 2021). These instruments also provide companies with a sure way of meeting the ESG requirements, thus attracting socially responsible investors that come with capital(Hill, 2020). In the same way, green ETFs pool together diverse green assets, and therefore, diversify portfolios, yet providing exposure to different sustainable initiatives(Liaw, 2020).

Although the popularity of green ETFs and bonds has been increasing over the years, there is still a lack of direct comparative analysis(Caramichael & Rapp, 2022). These comparisons need to be done with respect to their individual contributions towards sustainable goals. Based on recommendations from(Benson, 2000), this study also analyzes systemic risks along with external factors that can affect performance indicators. These include inflation rates, currency fluctuations, and market volatilities are critical drivers that influence the outcome of investment(Avramov et al., 2021).

Moreover, as (Younas, 2024) points out, context-bound analyses, such as those applied in this study, fill the gap between theory and practice in the sustainable finance research. For instance, the systemic risks are economic fluctuations and changes in regulation; these are essential while evaluating the sustainability of long-term green finance instruments (Kong et al., 2023). The following section will discuss how portfolio optimization frameworks, for instance, Markowitz Model, assist in maintaining the balance of risk and return while making sustainable investments (Mangram, 2013).

3. Theoretical Framework

This study uses the Markowitz Model as its analytical foundation, focusing on risk-return optimization(Mangram, 2013). The model is a cornerstone of MPT, which allows for the construction of portfolios that maximize returns for a given level of risk. This approach is particularly relevant for green investments, where balancing financial performance and sustainability is critical(Flammer, 2021).

According to Flyvbjerg, 2004, case studies provide more information about causality and mechanisms than any other research methodology. The Markowitz Model allows a systematic evaluation of trade-offs in different asset classes, such as green ETFs and bonds, by considering the variance and covariance between assets. Investors can thus design efficient portfolios to suit their tolerance for risk and sustainability objectives Liaw, 2020).

Moreover, Younas, (2024) emphasizes choosing an analytical technique that is appropriate to the case context, thus also methodologically sound. This paper, also draws inspiration from VanWynsberghe & Khan, (2007), wherein the authors pointed out the significance of boundary systems in terms of generating sound, context-related outcomes. Therefore, this literature suggests that reliable tools are the requirement for going through the sophisticated structures of the green finance market (Sabbaghi, 2011a).

4. Methodology

This research has, therefore, assimilated both qualitative and quantitative data to offer an all-rounded analysis as recommended by (Younas, 2024). It gathered historical performance data on green ETFs and bonds from prominent financial databases that ensured accuracy and reliability of data(Avramov et al., 2021). Methodology: In line with (Yin, 2016) case study design principles, the approach will be robust and replicable.

This paper uses the following approaches:

• Historical Data Analysis: Returns and risks were derived from closing price data spanning the past five years (Avramov et al., 2021). This analysis captures market trends and volatility, offering a detailed understanding of how green assets perform under varying market conditions(Hill, 2020).
• Construction of the Efficient Frontier: The Markowitz Model was applied to optimize the portfolio allocations by maximizing the Sharpe ratio(Jensen, 1972). This method clearly depicts the risk-return trade-offs involved in sustainable investments(Liaw, 2020).
• Monte Carlo Simulations: Random portfolio weights were developed for the calculation of risk-return distributions and the finding of optimal configurations(Mangram, 2013). Simulations give probable scenarios of future performances, thereby providing better planning about investments(Sabbaghi, 2011a).
• Systemic Risk Evaluation: The analysis was conducted by integrating external factors, such as economic conditions and policy changes, to give a comprehensive view (Benson, 2000). This evaluation will help investors understand the broader context that influences green finance trends (Gao et al., 2023).

5. Case selection

Effective case selection ensures that the study's findings are meaningful and applicable. As (Elman et al., 2016) and (VanWynsberghe & Khan, 2007) emphasize, bounding the case temporally and spatially enhances focus. This study evaluates:

1. Green ETFs BIT XGBE and ERTH were employed to gain market diversification and the momentum indicators, which can be considered as representing a broad class of sustainable assets(Brini & Lenz, 2022). This allows multiple industries that include renewable energy and sustainable technology (Liaw, 2020).

2. Individual Green Bonds: XS164145727=MI was selected for its geographic and sectoral representation, providing a balanced perspective on fixed-income sustainability instruments(Handayani & Rokhim, 2023) .This bond aligns with international green finance standards, ensuring its environmental impact is verifiable (Flammer, 2021).

6. Analysis

Investm Instrum	Return (%)	Risk Deviatio	Liquidity	Diversifon	Primary Focus	Challenes	Advantage
Green ETFs	2.58	0.00307	High	High	Profitability and sustainability	Subject to market volatility; requires portfolio monitoring	Scalable, suitable for retail and institutional investors
Individual Green Bonds	-1.22	0.00185	low	low	Environmental impact	Limited liquidity; lack of standardization across	Stable, predictable

						issuers	income with government guarantees in some cases

Table 1:Analysis table for Green ETFs and Green Bonds:

• Green ETFs: The portfolios produced a positive return of 2.58% with a moderate risk standard deviation of 0.00307. Such outcomes indicate the diversification advantages of ETFs, as indicated by the findings of (Flyvbjerg, 2004) that subtler case-specific outcomes occur. Diversifying assets across several sectors minimizes exposure to idiosyncratic risks, which explains why ETFs are appealing to sustainability-focused investors(Hill, 2020). Moreover, the renewable energy and technology sectors incorporated into the ETFs have growth prospects in the latter (Sabbaghi, 2011b).

Recent trends also show that green ETFs attract significant capital inflows, given the increasing demand for ESG compliant products (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2021). In addition, the scalability of ETFs has made them highly accessible to both retail and institutional investors, contributing to their vast adoption (Flammer, 2021). Given the advantage of liquidity and lower transaction costs, ETFs are more operational efficient than many conventional investment instruments(Liaw, 2020).

• Green Individual Bonds: The return was negative at -1.22% but had a lower risk (standard deviation: 0.00185). According to Benson, 2000, the systemic risks need to be monitored; this might be the reason for such results. Green bonds are essential for funding environmental projects but usually focus more on environmental impacts than financial returns (Flammer, 2021). This is because of their fixed-income nature and sometimes government guarantees (Hill, 2020).

However, investors who are seeking flexibility face a challenge based on the limited liquidity of green bonds (Avramov et al., 2021). Uncertainties arise in the absence of standardization in green bond frameworks since various issuers adhere to different levels of compliance (Gao et al., 2023). Despite the challenges identified, green bonds are an important source of mobilizing capital in climate-friendly initiatives (Liaw, 2020).

These results underscore the superior risk-return balance of green ETFs compared to individual green bonds. The diversification of ETFs across assets minimizes volatility due to market behavior, thus making them a robust investment opportunity for long-term investors. Combining the insights made from the quantitative analysis with qualitative narratives, as suggested by (Strawn et al., 2018), makes the study more applicable. The results suggest a call for a regulatory framework that

could support policymakers in greening ETFs and bonds as a way to increase usage and performance.

7. Discussion

The alignment with broader trends in sustainable finance notes that ETFs were preferred for diversification and liquidity reasons(Liaw, 2020). Depending on the context, investments in ETFs provide exposure to clean energy as well as sustainable technology. This makes them a very flexible tool for investment(Flammer, 2021). In addition, the straightforward nature of ETFs, combined with their ease of trading, makes them appealing to both retail and institutional investors(Hill, 2020).

Younas (2024) argue that case studies as these need to be contextualized because they have the potential to influence policy and investor decisions. The modeling of health metrics and systemic components of green investment adds to the robustness of the analysis Я, as per Benson (2000). These kinds of metrics can help investors mitigate the risks posed by inflation, currency, or geopolitical issues (Avramov et al., 2021).

The conservative nature of green bonds in returns indicates the prioritizing of environmental impact over performance. This nature places them in a category of investment that is appropriate for low-risk tolerant investors that put social and environment ahead of profit maximization (Flammer, 2021). However, the weak appeal to high-risk appetite investors demonstrates the necessity of such instruments as ETFs to improve returns against risks (Sabbaghi, 2011a).

(Flyvbjerg, 2011) argues that any such insights defeat conventional wisdom while providing a stimulus for theory. The Markowitz Framework is appropriately utilized in the study to emphasize the possibility that the combination of green ETFs and bonds achieves optimal risk adjustment. Policymakers and investors need to tackle structural barriers on standardization as well as the issue of liquidity to fully embrace the potential of green finance instruments(Gao et al., 2023).

8: Conclusion

This study shows that green ETFs outperform single green bonds on a risk-adjusted return basis, providing useful information for investors looking to invest sustainably. Since ETFs exhibit superior diversification and liquidity, it is the most suitable investment choice for long-term investors who would like to have both profitability and environmental responsibility balanced (Liaw, 2020). It is, therefore, a testament to the diversified portfolios in sustainable finance.
YOUNAS 2024: The necessity of analytic rigor in case studies like this mixed-methods research is a must. Evidence generated here will provide actionable insights to investors and policymakers on the potential integration of green ETFs and bonds into more comprehensive investment strategies.

9: Implications and Future Research Directions

This research has very important implications and underscores the strategic importance of green financial instruments in advancing global sustainability goals. Presenting evidence on the superior risk-return balance for green ETFs, this study will provide policymakers with the impetus to promote regulatory frameworks that facilitate ETF adoption, including tax incentives for green ETF investors and standardized reporting mechanisms that enhance transparency (Flammer, 2021). In addition, by pointing out the importance of portfolio diversification to mitigate market volatility, these results are in line with best practices of sustainable finance (Liaw, 2020). Investors can use the findings of this study to make informed decisions balancing profitability and environmental stewardship, which contribute to broader ESG objectives (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2021).

Future research should investigate more general comparisons across ESG-compliant instruments, analyzing how they fare in different market conditions. Longitudinal studies that assess the impact of economic cycles, policy changes, and technological advancements on green investments will offer deeper insights into their long-term viability (Gao et al., 2023). Advanced analytical models that integrate machine learning with scenario analysis can also predict sustainable investment trends, optimizing portfolio allocations for higher returns with lower risks (Avramov et al., 2021). These research avenues will not only enrich academic discourse but also provide practical tools for investors and policymakers navigating the evolving landscape of sustainable finance.

Reference

1. Avramov, D., Kaplanski, G., & Subrahmanyam, A. (2021). Moving average distance as a predictor of equity returns. *Review of Financial Economics*, *39*(2), 127–145. https://doi.org/10.1002/rfe.1118
2. Benson, D. S. (2000). Digitalis Toxicity: Case Study. *Home Health Care Management & Practice*, *12*(2), 45–50. https://doi.org/10.1177/108482230001200209
3. Brini, A., & Lenz, J. (2022). Bitcoin ETFs: Measuring the Performance of This New Market Niche. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4157711
4. Caramichael, J., & Rapp, A. C. (2022). The Green Corporate Bond Issuance Premium. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, *2500*(1346). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4194858
5. Climate Bonds Initiative. (2021). *Explaining Green Bonds*. *March 2013*, 1–3. https://www.climatebonds.net/market/explaining-green-bonds#:~:text=Green bonds were created to," or asset-linked bonds.
6. Elman, C., Gerring, J., & Mahoney, J. (2016). Case Study Research: Putting the Quant Into the Qual. *Sociological Methods and Research*, *45*(3), 375–391. https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124116644273
7. Flammer, C. (2021). Corporate green bonds. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *142*(2), 499–516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.01.010
8. Flyvbjerg, B. (2004). Five misunderstandings about case-study research , in Qualitative Research Practice FIVE MISUNDERSTANDINGS ABOUT CASE-STUDY Five Misunderstandings About Case-Study Research When I first became interested in in-depth case-study research , I was trying to. *Sosiologisk Tidssknft*, *12*(January), 117–142.
9. Flyvbjerg, B. (2011). Five Misunderstandings About Case-Study Research. *Qualitative Research Practice*, 390–404. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781848608191.d33

10. Gao, L., Guo, K., & Wei, X. (2023). Dynamic relationship between green bonds and major financial asset markets from the perspective of climate change. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *10*(January), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1109796

11. Handayani, A., & Rokhim, R. (2023). A Comparative Study of Financial Performance between Sustainable and Conventional Investment. *Journal of Entrepreneurship & Business*, *4*(2), 114–124. https://doi.org/10.24123/jeb.v4i2.5691

12. Hill, J. (2020). Financial markets: bonds. *Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Investing*, 117–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-818692-3.00007-4

13. Jensen, M. C. (1972). Capital Markets: Theory and Evidence. *Bell J Econ Manage Sci*, *3*(2), 357–398. https://doi.org/10.2307/3003029

14. Kaminker, C. (2015). Policy Perspectives: Green Bonds - Mobilising the debt capital markets for a low-carbon transition. *OECD Publishing*, *OECD/Bloomberg Philanthropies*. http://www.oecd.org/environment/cc/Green bonds PP [f3] [lr].pdf

15. Kong, F., Gao, Z., & Oprean-Stan, C. (2023). Green bond in China: An effective hedge against global supply chain pressure? *Energy Economics*, *128*(October), 107167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2023.107167

16. Kroeber, A. L. (1930). The Polish Peasant in Europe and America. *American Anthropologist*, *32*(2), 321–321. https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.1930.32.2.02a00210

17. Liaw, K. T. (2020). Survey of Green Bond Pricing and Investment Performance. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, *13*(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13090193

18. Mangram, M. E. (2013). *A SIMPLIFIED PERSPECTIVE OF THE MARKOWITZ*. *7*(1), 59–70.

19. Meyer, C. H. Z., & Whyte, W. F. (1946). Street Corner Society: The Social Structure of an Italian Slum. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology (1931-1951)*, *35*(6), 404. https://doi.org/10.2307/1138191

20. Sabbaghi, O. (2011a). Do Green Exchange-Traded Funds Outperform the S&P500? *Journal of Accounting and Finance*, *11*(1), 50–59.

21. Sabbaghi, O. (2011b). The behavior of green exchange-traded funds. *Managerial Finance*, *37*(5), 426–441. https://doi.org/10.1108/03074351111126915

22. Strawn, B. D., Bland, E. D., & Flores, P. S. (2018). Learning Clinical Integration: A Case Study Approach. *Journal of Psychology and Theology*, *46*(2), 85–97. https://doi.org/10.1177/0091647118767976

23. VanWynsberghe, R., & Khan, S. (2007). Redefining Case Study. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *6*(2), 80–94. https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690700600208

24. Yin, R. K. (2016). Robert K. Yin. (2014). Case Study Research Design and Methods (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. 282 pages. *The Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, *30*(1), 282. https://doi.org/10.3138/CJPE.BR-240

25. Younas, A. (2024). *Choosing an Analytical Approach in Case Study Research*. 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1177/10784535241306773

26.

27.