Journal of Informatics Education and Research
ISSN: 1526-4726
Vol 5 Issue 1 (2025)

Rooted in Sustainability: A Comprehensive Review of Natural Farming Practices Worldwide

Nirmal K Mandal[1], Prasanta Parida[2], Srilata Patnaik[3], Jyotirmayee Pati[4]

[1]Associate Professor, KIIT School of Rural Management, KIIT DU.
Email: nirmal@ksrm.ac.in
[2]Associate Professor, KIIT School of Rural Management, KIIT DU.
Email: prasanta.parida@ksrm.ac.in
[3]Asst. Professor, KIIT School of Rural Management, KIIT DU.
Email: srilata@ksrm.ac.in
[4]PhD Scholar, KIIT School of Management, KIIT DU.
Email: jyotirmayee.pati17@gmail.com

Abstract

Natural farming provides a viable solution to global challenges, including food insecurity, climate change, and deteriorating soil quality. This review investigates the principles, regional adaptations, and effects of natural agricultural practices on a global scale. Diverse case studies, such as Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF) in India, agroecology in Latin America and permaculture in Oceania demonstrate its potential to enhance farmer livelihoods, conserve biodiversity, sequester carbon and improve soil health . The review identifies critical barriers, such as gaps in long-term scientific validation, insufficient institutional support and limited awareness. Overcoming these challenges requires enabling factors such as improved market access, targeted policy incentives, and robust knowledge-sharing mechanisms. Policymakers are encouraged to introduce subsidies, certification programmes and region-specific frameworks, while practitioners and NGOs can leverage new insights to expand community-driven initiatives. Researchers should prioritize ongoing validation and adaptation to ensure the effectiveness of natural farming in various settings. Overall, Natural farming has the potential to transform global agriculture into food systems that are resilient and balanced by combining ecological sustainability with socio-economic benefits. This review emphasizes its transformative potential and urges cross-sector collaboration to realize its maximum potential.

Keywords: Natural Farming, Sustainable Agriculture, Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF), Agroecology, Climate Resilience, Regenerative Agriculture, Environmental Stewardship, Global Food Systems, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs),

1. Introduction

Global food systems are increasingly threatened by unsustainable farming practices, highlighting the urgent need for a transition to sustainable agriculture. The ongoing dependence on chemical-intensive agricultural practices compounds vulnerabilities within the sector, resulting in yield instability and soil erosion, highlighting the critical necessity for sustainable alternatives (IPCC, 2019; FAO, 2017). Natural farming addresses these challenges by restoring soil fertility with organic inputs such as compost and green manure, while reducing greenhouse gas emissions by eliminating synthetic fertilizers (Fukuoka, 1978; Scialabba & Müller-Lindenlauf, 2010). Promoting biodiversity and minimizing soil disturbance, natural farming enhances nutrient cycling and water retention, supporting resilient ecosystems that sustain pollination and natural pest control (Naresh et al., 2018; Altieri & Nicholls, 2020). Rooted in ancient practices, natural farming integrates indigenous knowledge, including the use of compost, crop rotations and natural pest control to maintain soil fertility (Fukuoka, 1978). Therefore Natural farming offers a holistic approach, prioritizing ecological harmony and minimizing reliance on external inputs. Unlike conventional agriculture, which depends heavily on synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, natural farming harnesses the self-regenerating capacities of ecosystems, promoting resilience and sustainability (Fukuoka, 1978; Palekar, 2016). Distinct from organic farming, which permits certain approved inputs, natural farming relies entirely on closed-loop ecological cycles to sustain productivity.

Contemporary innovators like Masanobu Fukuoka, advocating for "do-nothing farming" and Subhash Palekar, promoting “Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF”, have formalized and advanced these methods (Palekar, 2016). These approaches, embraced globally, emphasize minimal intervention, cost-effectiveness and eco-friendliness. In Latin America, traditional practices have merged with agroecological movements, demonstrating success in rehabilitating degraded lands and ensuring food security (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020).
Aligning with “Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)”, specifically SDG 12 and SDG 15, it mitigates agriculture's environmental impact while safeguarding ecosystems and promoting sustainable land use (Scialabba & Müller-Lindenlauf, 2010). In addition to environmental benefits, natural farming fosters socio-economic sustainability. It lowers production costs, increases farmer profitability and mitigates health risks associated with chemical exposure, while producing healthier, chemical-free food that builds consumer trust (Sharma et al., 2023). Therefore Natural farming offers a critical pathway toward achieving global food security and sustainable agricultural systems. However, scaling up natural farming requires robust policy frameworks, targeted investments and extensive farmer training to overcome barriers like limited market access and technical knowledge gaps.

2. Objectives

This review seeks to evaluate natural farming practices worldwide, focusing on their implementation, regional variations and contributions to sustainable agriculture. This review compares regional approaches, emphasizing distinct adaptations and common principles of natural farming across various cultural and ecological contexts. Additionally, it seeks to highlight the environmental, economic and social advantages of natural farming, as well as the challenges and limitations faced in its implementation. This review also aims to inform policymakers, researchers and practitioners by providing insights into the potential of natural farming as a sustainable alternative to conventional agriculture, while also identifying gaps that necessitate further investigation for wider global application.

3. Methodology

This review of natural farming practices employed a systematic approach to ensure a thorough and high-quality synthesis of the existing literature. Reputable databases including Scopus, Google Scholar and Web of Science were mined to identify relevant research. Keywords such as "natural farming practices," "sustainable agriculture," "traditional farming," "ecological farming," "zero-budget natural farming" and "Fukuoka farming" were included. Additionally, boolean operators (AND, OR) were applied to refine search results and enhance inclusivity.
The inclusion criteria were carefully defined to focus on peer-reviewed journal articles, reports and case studies published between 2000 and 2023. Relevant studies were examined to understand natural farming practices and their environmental, economic and social impacts, as well as their contributions to sustainable agriculture and global food security. The exclusion criteria included studies not pertaining to natural farming, articles not in English and non-peer-reviewed materials, such as opinion pieces, blogs or anecdotal evidence.
The review process began with an initial screening of titles and abstracts to identify potentially relevant studies. This was followed by a detailed examination of full-text articles. Duplicates were removed to avoid redundancy and final selections were based on their alignment with the objectives of the review. Particular attention was given to studies presenting empirical evidence or rigorous analysis of natural farming practices. The application of such stringent criteria facilitated a balanced and rigorous synthesis of literature, bringing out the global significance of natural farming

4. Global Overview of Natural Farming

4.1 Definition and Principles:

Natural farming represents a sustainable agricultural methodology aimed at achieving ecological balance through the exclusion of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides and genetically modified organisms. It utilizes natural processes with limited human intervention (Fukuoka, 1978; Palekar, 2016). This method emphasizes soil health, ecological balance and the application of organic inputs, including compost, green manure and indigenous microorganisms, to enhance soil fertility. No-till farming, crop rotation and intercropping contribute to increased biodiversity and improved ecosystem resilience (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020). Natural farming enhances long-term agricultural sustainability by preserving soil biodiversity, which is crucial for nutrient cycling, water retention and pest control (Weller et al., 2002). Natural farming, in contrast to conventional farming that relies on external chemical inputs, promotes self-regenerating ecosystems, thereby enhancing cost-effectiveness and environmental sustainability.

4.2 Key Practices:

Natural farming integrates various practices adapted to specific ecological and socio-cultural environments. In India, "Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF)" combines indigenous knowledge with natural inputs, including Jeevamrutha and Beejamrutha, to improve soil health and lower expenses (Palekar, 2016; Sharma et al., 2023). Mulching and cover cropping are effective techniques for conserving soil moisture and enhancing farm sustainability (Kumar et al., 2020).

Similarly, Japan's Fukuoka Natural Farming, characterized by its "do-nothing farming" philosophy, avoids plowing, chemical inputs and weeding. Seed-balling and the maintenance of permanent ground cover are illustrative of minimalist, regenerative agricultural practices (Fukuoka, 1978; Higa, 2008).
Agro-ecology in Latin America combines traditional knowledge with scientific principles, utilizing crop-livestock integration, agroforestry and polycultures to improve biodiversity and rehabilitate degraded lands. These practices correspond with food sovereignty initiatives, empowering smallholder farmers and decreasing reliance on industrial inputs (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020).

In Africa, practices such as inter-cropping, the application of green manure and natural pest control, grounded in indigenous knowledge, are essential for sustaining productivity in resource-limited settings. “Farmer Managed Natural Regeneration (FMNR)” has played a significant role in addressing desertification and enhancing soil fertility in the Sahel region (Reij et al., 2014).
These practices have significantly improved soil health, promoted biodiversity and enhanced resilience to environmental stressors, thereby contributing to sustainable agriculture globally.

4.3 Adoption Trends:

Adoption practices differ worldwide, shaped by socio-economic, cultura and policy influences. Natural farming is gaining momentum in developing countries, characterized by limited access to synthetic inputs and a reliance on indigenous knowledge.

For instance, ZBNF in India has seen considerable adoption in states such as Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka, facilitated by government support and grassroots initiatives (Naresh et al., 2018). Agroecological practices have become essential components of food sovereignty initiatives in Latin America. Organizations such as Brazil’s Movimento dos Trabalhadores Rurais Sem Terra (MST) promote these methods to improve productivity and resilience (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020). Similarly, traditional ecological methods, such as FMNR, have effectively restored degraded lands and enhanced food security in Africa; however, insufficient policy support and restricted market access hinders wider implementation (Chinseu et al., 2020).

Conversely, in developed countries, the primary driver of natural farming adoption is consumer demand for organic and sustainably produced food. Policies of the European Union, including the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), offer financial incentives aimed at decreasing pesticide usage and enhancing biodiversity (Poux & Aubert, 2018). In the United States, initiatives such as the Rodale Institute's Regenerative Organic Certification seek to promote natural farming via market-driven strategies (LaCanne & Lundgren, 2018). Adoption rates are higher in regions where natural farming effectively tackles significant resource challenges. However, its global implementation is limited by technical, market and policy obstacles. Collaboration among stakeholders is crucial for addressing these challenges and enhancing the adoption of sustainable practices.

5. Regional Perspectives

5.1 Asia:

Asia, characterized by diverse agricultural landscapes and rich cultural traditions, has significantly contributed to the development and evolution of various natural farming practices.Indigenous communities' ancient wisdom and contemporary innovations highlight the importance of ecological harmony, reducing dependence on external inputs and enhancing resource efficiency.

India’s Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF) and Japan’s Fukuoka Natural Farming serve as notable examples, each attracting considerable attention for their distinctive methodologies in sustainable agriculture.

Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF), developed by Subhash Palekar, utilizes locally sourced natural resources, thereby negating the necessity for external chemical inputs. ZBNF utilizes natural inputs, including Jeevamrutha, a microbial rich liquid fertilizer and Bijamrutha, a seed treatment solution, in conjunction with techniques such as mulching to improve soil fertility and retain moisture (Palekar, 2016; Kumar et al., 2020).

Community involvement and institutional backing have been essential in the expansion of ZBNF. The widespread adoption of ZBNF has been facilitated by initiatives such as the “Andhra Pradesh Community Managed Natural Farming (APCNF)” programme, which incorporates farmer training, peer-led demonstrations and technical support (APCNF, 2021).

The Indian government has played a crucial role in advancing Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF) through initiatives such as the Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY), which offers financial incentives, capacity-building programmes and farmer networks (Kumar et al., 2020). This method has allowed practitioners to realize considerable cost reductions—decreasing reliance on chemical inputs by as much as 90 %, while markedly enhancing soil health, water use efficiency and crop productivity (Naresh et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2023).
The Government of India launched the National Mission on Natural Farming (NMNF) in 2023-24 to upscale the adoption of ZBNF. Key initiatives under the NMNF include establishing Bio-Input Resource Centers (BRCs), conducting capacity-building programs and providing incentives to farmers for on-farm manure production infrastructure, thereby improving access to locally produced natural inputs like jeevamrut and beejamrut. (Government of India, 2024)

Fukuoka Natural Farming, established by Masanobu Fukuoka, is commonly known as "do-nothing farming" due to its emphasis on reducing human intervention. It highlights the importance of aligning with natural processes by eliminating tilling, weeding and chemical inputs. Fundamental practices encompass seed ball planting, the maintenance of permanent ground cover and the utilization of natural cycles for soil health and pest management (Fukuoka, 1978; Higa, 2008).

The adoption of Fukuoka Natural Farming, though less widespread than ZBNF, has significantly influenced global sustainable agriculture movements, particularly in the realms of permaculture and regenerative farming practices (Higa, 2008; Altieri & Nicholls, 2020.

Japan's governmental support for this approach is indirect. However, policies outlined in the "Basic Plan for Food, Agriculture and Rural Areas" advocate for reduced chemical use and environmental conservation, aligning with Fukuoka's principles (MAFF, 2021). Community-based networks, including ecological farming cooperatives, have contributed to the preservation and dissemination of Fukuoka's methods among committed practitioners.

Trends in Comparative Adoption: ZBNF has received substantial governmental and community support in India, facilitating its broad adoption, whereas Fukuoka Natural Farming is more specialized, mainly practiced by individual farmers and small communities in Japan.

Both systems encounter challenges such as limited awareness, market access and scientific validation. These issues highlight the necessity for ongoing research, targeted policy interventions and community engagement to maintain and enhance these practices.

ZBNF has shown scalability and economic viability through strong institutional support and community initiatives, while Fukuoka Natural Farming provides inspiration for minimalist and regenerative methods in sustainable agriculture. Both practices demonstrate the transformative potential of natural farming in promoting ecological and socio-economic objectives throughout Asia.

5.2 Africa

Africa possesses an extensive diversity of traditional agricultural systems rooted in ecological principles, providing a solid foundation for natural farming practices. These methods, developed over generations, emphasize biodiversity, soil conservation, and resource efficiency, rendering them particularly effective in addressing Africa's resource constraints and climate change (Pretty, 2008).

Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) is essential for assisting farmers in adapting to variable conditions, including irregular rainfall, droughts and increasing temperatures. The integration of indigenous practices such as inter-cropping, crop diversification and agroforestry enables African farmers to develop resilient agricultural systems that adhere to sustainable agriculture principles (Chinseu et al., 2020).

Key Practices Leveraging TEK:

Inter-cropping, a widely practiced technique, involves cultivating multiple crops simultaneously. This approach in African agriculture has demonstrated improvements in soil fertility, pest control and resilience to climate extremes (Midega et al., 2015). Furthermore,it maximizes yields on limited land while while improving resilience to climate extremes (Chinseu et al., 2020; Vandermeer & Gliessman, 2010).

In regions like the Sahel and East Africa, agroforestry systems integrate trees such as Acacia and Faidherbia albida with crops and livestock. These nitrogen-fixing tree species enhance soil fertility, provide shade and reduce soil erosion, contributing to sustainable agricultural productivity under challenging climatic conditions (Nair, 2004). For example, agroforestry practices in Kenya's Machakos district have increased maize yields by up to 30% (Reij et al., 2014).

Farmer-Managed Natural Regeneration (FMNR) addresses climate challenges by promoting regrowth from tree stumps and roots. This method has successfully restored over 5 million hectares of degraded land in Niger, significantly enhancing food security and combating desertification in the Sahel region (Reij et al., 2014; Minang et al., 2007).
Traditional methods such as applying compost, animal manure and green manure are pivotal in enriching soil fertility and improving crop productivity. For instance, in Ethiopia's Tigray region, these practices have increased staple crop yields by 44% compared to chemical fertilizers, showcasing their effectiveness in building sustainable agricultural systems. (Haileslassie et al., 2005).

Challenges to Scaling TEK-Driven Practices:

Despite their effectiveness, scaling these traditional practices faces significant challenges. Resource constraints, including restricted access to financial resources, agricultural inputs and secure land tenure, represent significant obstacles (Chinseu et al., 2020).

Climate change compounds these challenges; for instance, droughts in Southern Africa have led to a 20–40% reduction in maize yields in recent years, posing a threat to food security (FAO, 2017). Natural farming methods demonstrate resilience. However, they typically require years for the restoration of soil health and productivity, posing short-term challenges for farmers reliant on immediate returns.

Policy and market barriers hinder progress, since many African governments emphasize industrial farming and cash crops, providing minimal support for natural farming practices through subsidies or research funding (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020; Pretty, 2008).
Furthermore, smallholder farmers practicing natural methods frequently face barriers to market access and organic product certifications, thereby limiting their economic opportunities.

Africa possesses considerable potential to excel in natural farming, especially utilizing its traditional ecological knowledge. The effectiveness of initiatives such as FMNR demonstrates that community-led resource management can rehabilitate degraded landscapes and promote sustainable agricultural practices when aligned with policy support.

Path Forward:
Integrating traditional knowledge with scientific advancements requires stakeholders to improve farmers' access to resources and training. Organic inputs such as compost and green manure are critical components for enhancing the resilience and productivity of natural farming systems. The scalability and effectiveness of agroforestry, along with supportive policies and community engagement, highlight its transformative potential for sustainable agriculture in Africa.

5.3 Europe

Europe has emerged as a global leader in the adoption of agro-ecological practices, driven by robust policy frameworks, increasing consumer awareness of environmental challenges, and strong demand for sustainable and locally produced food. The adoption of agroecological practices varies significantly across European countries. Agro-ecology in Europe integrates ecological principles into agricultural practices, aiming to enhance sustainability, reduce reliance on chemical inputs and promote biodiversity. Key practices include crop diversification, organic farming, agroforestry, reduced pesticide use and conservation tillage, all aligned with the European Union's goals to address pressing issues such as soil degradation, water pollution and biodiversity loss (Seufert et al., 2012).

Core Practices in Agroecology:

As a key agroecological practice, organic farming now accounts for 9.1% of agricultural land across the EU, with significant representation in Austria (26%), Estonia (22%), and Sweden (20%) (Eurostat, 2021). Countries such as France, Spain, and the UK have integrated agroforestry into their agricultural systems, achieving up to 2.4 tons of carbon sequestration per hectare each year while enhancing soil and ecosystem health (Mosquera-Losada et al., 2018; Van der Hilst et al., 2016). Additionally, Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is gaining momentum , emphasizing biological controls and crop rotations to minimize pesticide reliance. As part of the European Green Deal, the EU has committed to reducing chemical pesticide use by 50% by 2030 (European Commission, 2021).

Policy Support and Monitoring Under the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP):

The EU's Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) is a crucial framework for agroecology, offering eco-scheme incentives for practices such as crop diversification, organic farming, and agroforestry (European Commission, 2021; Poux & Aubert, 2018). The CAP through the Farm to Fork Strategy aims to reduce nutrient losses by 50%, decrease fertilizer usage by 20%, and expand organic farming to encompass 25% of EU farmland by 2030 (European Commission, 2021). Similarly Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 supports agroecological adoption by emphasizing the enhancement of agricultural biodiversity and the restoration of ecosystems on degraded lands (European Commission, 2020).

Monitoring Effectiveness of CAP Initiatives:

The effectiveness of CAP initiatives is monitored through a combination of performance indicators, compliance checks, and periodic evaluations. Member states are required to submit strategic plans detailing how they will achieve CAP objectives, which are assessed against measurable targets such as reductions in pesticide use, increases in organic farming area and improvements in biodiversity. Data collection systems, including satellite imagery and farm surveys, are employed to track progress.

The European Commission publishes annual monitoring reports to evaluate the environmental, economic and social impacts of CAP-funded initiatives, ensuring accountability and allowing for modifications to enhance effectiveness. Additionally, independent evaluations by research organizations provide insights into long-term outcomes and areas requiring policy refinement.

Outcomes and Challenges:

The commitment of Europe to agroecology led to significant benefits. Implementing agroecology widely could reduce agricultural greenhouse gas emissions by 36% by 2050, while maintaining food production capabilities (Schader et al., 2015). Research conducted in France and Germany indicates that agroecological farms exhibit increased biodiversity and enhanced resilience to climate change relative to conventional farms (Therond et al., 2017).

Challenges persist such as higher transition costs, insufficient technical knowledge among farmers and market barriers for agroecological products. To overcome these challenges, it is essential to improve support for research, training, and market infrastructure to facilitate greater adoption.

The CAP's comprehensive framework and rigorous monitoring establish a model for integrating agroecology into national agricultural systems, effectively balancing environmental sustainability with agricultural productivity in Europe.

5.4 Americas

The Americas, with their rich cultural heritage and diverse ecosystems, provide an ideal setting for natural farming practices. From the ancestral knowledge of Indigenous communities to the modern agroecology movement, these approaches emphasize ecological harmony, sustainability and food sovereignty, offering valuable solutions for today’s agricultural challenges.

Indigenous Foundations of Natural Farming

For centuries, Indigenous communities throughout North and South America have relied on Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK) to guide natural farming. Grounded in a deep understanding of local ecosystems, these practices prioritize biodiversity, soil health and community well-being.

Indigenous groups in Mexico and Central America apply the Milpa system, an intercropping technique that integrates maize, beans and squash, referred to as the “Three Sisters,” to enhance soil fertility, control pests and optimize resource utilization (Gliessman, 2014). Research highlights that Milpa farming enhances nutrient cycling and curbs soil erosion, offering advantages over monocropping systems (Altieri & Toledo, 2011). The FAO (2020) highlights the significant contribution of Milpa to food security, with more than fifty percent of smallholder farmers in Mexico relying on this agricultural practice for their livelihoods.

In the Andes, indigenous communities have developed sophisticated terracing systems to prevent soil erosion and maximize water retention. These terraces, combined with the cultivation of diverse crops like quinoa and potatoes, ensure resilience against climatic change. In Peru, agroecological systems in the Andes account for 60% of quinoa production, contributing significantly to the region's food sovereignty (Zimmerer, 2013). Similarly, in the Amazon, indigenous peoples have long practiced agroforestry, integrating crops, fruit trees and medicinal plants into biodiverse landscapes. Terra preta (black earth), a highly fertile soil created by indigenous farming techniques, demonstrates the effectiveness of these systems in enhancing soil fertility (Lehmann et al., 2003). These agroforestry practices in the Amazon sequester carbon at rates of up to 5 tons per hectare annually, playing a critical role in climate mitigation (Nepstad et al., 2008).

Modern Agroecological Movements and Socio-Economic Benefits

Modern agroecology has gained significant momentum in the Americas as a sustainable alternative to industrial farming. It integrates ecological science with traditional knowledge to design resilient farming systems that address social, economic and environmental issues.

"La Vía Campesina", a prominent agroecological movement in Latin America, advocates for food sovereignty and supports smallholder farmers and indigenous groups in adopting agroecological methods to reduce dependency on chemical inputs and enhance biodiversity (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020; Borras Jr et al., 2011).

Farms in Brazil under La Vía Campesina's guidance exhibit a 30% increase in crop diversity and 20% reduction in input expenses compared to conventional approaches (Altieri et al., 2015). Lower input costs ease economic pressures on smallholders, facilitating reinvestment in local communities and improving their quality of life.

Cuba's shift to agroecology is celebrated worldwide as a notable success in sustainable farming. Restricted access to synthetic inputs led Cuban farmers to adopt organic methods, diverse cropping systems and urban agriculture. Currently, agroecological techniques cover more than half of Cuba's agricultural land, enhancing food security and lowering greenhouse gas emissions (Rosset et al., 2011; Perfecto et al., 2005)." The socio-economic impacts of Cuba's agroecological transformation include improved nutritional security, strengthened local food systems, and increased employment opportunities in rural areas.

Community-Supported Agriculture (CSA) initiatives in North America connect agroecological farmers with local consumers. These programmes prioritize minimized chemical application, conservation of biodiversity and direct marketing strategies, enabling farmers to secure a higher share of consumer price nd enhance consumer trust.

More than 13,000 Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) farms in the United States apply agroecological principles to deliver sustainable produce to local communities, thereby enhancing economic resilience and promoting environmental stewardship (USDA, 2020). These initiatives strengthen community networks, promoting mutual support and collective action.

Challenges and Pathways to Scaling Agro-ecology

Despite these achievements, challenges persist in the scaling of natural farming and agroecology across the Americas. Significant policy gaps persist, with many governments favoring industrial agriculture and providing minimal assistance for agroecological transitions. For instsance Brazil has experienced recent cuts in funding for sustainable agriculture programmes, jeopardizing advancements in this field (Altieri et al., 2015). C
Climate change presents significant risks, as rising temperatures and unpredictable rainfall patterns adversely affect smallholder farmers in the Andes and Amazon (IPCC, 2019). Market access presents a significant barrier, as small-scale farmers frequently encounter

difficulties in securing markets or obtaining necessary certifications, which restricts their profitability.
Agro-ecology provides significant socio-economic advantages, such as enhanced income stability, decreased dependence on expensive synthetic inputs and improved food sovereignty. Effective scaling of these practices necessitates the implementation of supportive policies, investment in research and educational initiatives aimed at empowering farmers and communities. The integration of traditional ecological knowledge with contemporary scientific advancements in the Americas highlights the transformative potential of agro-ecology in promoting sustainable and equitable agricultural systems.

5.5 Oceania:

Oceania, especially Australia and New Zealand, has established itself as a leader in sustainable agricultural practices, prominently featuring permaculture and regenerative farming. Both approaches emphasize ecological balance, biodiversity, and soil health but they differ in their conceptual foundations and specific techniques, providing distinct yet complementary alternatives to industrial agriculture and the challenges posed by climate change.

Permaculture: Integrated Design for Self-Sustaining Systems

Permaculture, initially developed in Australia during the 1970s, employs ecological principles and systematic design to establish sustainable agricultural systems (Mollison & Holmgren, 1978). Key strategies, including companion planting, water conservation and agroforestry, replicate natural ecosystems, thereby enhancing resource efficiency and minimizing waste. The system design emphasizes long-term sustainability and resilience via meticulously planned land use.

Australia hosts renowned permaculture initiatives like the Permaculture Research Institute and Milkwood Farm, which promote soil regeneration, water management and community education (Graham & Reed, 2008). A survey by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (2020) revealed that approximately 18% of small-scale farms in Australia incorporate permaculture principles, enhancing drought resilience and soil restoration. In arid regions, techniques like swales (contour ditches for water retention) have improved water-use efficiency by up to 30% while reducing soil erosion (Ferguson & Lovell, 2014).

New Zealand also integrates permaculture on both urban and rural scales, with initiatives like the Koanga Institute fostering organic seed saving and local community-driven projects. Permaculture farms in New Zealand report higher biodiversity, maintaining an average of 15–20 crop species compared to conventional monocultures (New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries, 2020).

Regenerative Farming: Soil-Centered Practices for Ecosystem Services
Regenerative farming emphasizes the restoration and enhancement of soil health and ecosystem services via the active management of agricultural practices. Permaculture focuses on design and integration, whereas regenerative farming utilizes techniques like holistic

grazing, cover cropping and no-till farming to enhance soil organic matter and increase land productivity.

In Australia, regenerative agriculture has gained momentum as a solution to soil degradation and desertification. Regenerative agriculture boosts soil organic carbon by 40% over five years, enhancing water retention while cutting dependence on chemical inputs (White & Keough, 2019). Regenerative grazing, which replicates natural grazing patterns in livestock management, has proven effective, achieving a 30% reduction in input costs and a 20% increase in livestock productivity (RCS Australia, 2019).

New Zealand's regenerative farming movement emphasizes soil biodiversity and reduced chemical inputs. The government's $20 million investment into regenerative practices highlights the approach's potential to improve productivity and reduce environmental impacts (New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries, 2020). New Zealand's regenerative dairy farms report a 15% decrease in greenhouse gas emissions, supporting the nation's environmental objectives (Toop et al., 2019)

Challenges and Collaborative Progress

Barriers to adoption in Oceania include substantial upfront expenses, considerable learning requirements and limited financial support for small-scale farmers (Pretty, 2008). Sustainable practices encounter policy obstacles, as subsidies are often disproportionately allocated to industrial agriculture instead of environmentally sustainable options. Additionally, Oceania's vulnerability to extreme climate events such as droughts and bushfires poses long-term resilience challenges (IPCC, 2019).

To address these issues, the region has fostered education, research and community collaboration. Initiatives like Regen Farmers Mutual in Australia provide peer-to-peer learning and financial support to facilitate adoption. New Zealand's focus on regenerative farming aligns with its goals for carbon neutrality and sustainable food systems, integrating research, policy and community engagement.

Oceania demonstrates the synergy between permaculture's design-oriented philosophy and the active soil restoration practices of regenerative farming. Together, they advance sustainable agricultural practices while addressing the region's unique environmental and socio-economic challenges.

6. Benefits of Natural Farming

Natural farming provides various environmental, economic and social advantages, establishing it as a sustainable alternative to traditional agricultural methods.
Environmentally, natural farming significantly enhances soil health and structure through practices like mulching, composting and cover cropping, which improve water retention and prevent erosion (Gliessman, 2014). Studies on "Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF)" in India have shown a 20–25% increase in soil organic carbon levels over five years (Naresh et al., 2018), while agroecological practices in the Andes have reduced soil erosion and preserved productivity on steep terrains (Zimmerer, 2013). Furthermore, Natural farming significantly contributes to climate change mitigation through the reduction of greenhouse gas

emissions. The elimination of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides significantly reduces nitrous oxide emissions (Scialabba & Müller-Lindenlauf, 2010). Agroforestry systems in Africa and Latin America as much as 5 tons of carbon per hectare annually (Lehmann et al., 2003), while agroecological farms in Cuba have reduced fossil fuel use by 50% compared to conventional systems (Rosset et al., 2011). Additionally, biodiversity conservation is a key benefit, as natural farming supports diverse crops, natural pest predators and soil organisms. Intercropping systems in Latin America improve on-farm biodiversity and ecosystem resilience (Altieri & Toledo, 2011), while European agroecological farms exhibit 30% greater biodiversity levels than conventional farms (Therond et al., 2017).

Economically, natural farming reduces production costs by eliminating the necessity for synthetic inputs. Farmers implementing Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF) in India have reported a 90% decrease in input costs, which has led to reduced debt and enhanced profitability (Palekar, 2016). Similarly, Sub-Saharan African farmers using organic fertilizers save an average of $60 per hectare annually compared to chemical-based systems (Chinseu et al., 2020). The growing consumer demand for sustainably produced food creates opportunities for premium pricing in niche markets. In Europe, organic food sales grew by 15% in 2020, driven by consumer preferences for environmentally friendly products (Eurostat, 2021). Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) programmes in North America connect natural farming practitioners directly with consumers, offering higher profit margins and strengthening local food systems (USDA, 2020).

Socially. natural farming enhances farmer well-being by decreasing reliance on expensive inputs and improving financial stability. Farmers in Andhra Pradesh implementing Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF) have reported enhanced income stability and diminished dependence on loans, thereby improving their overall quality of life (APCNF, 2021). The emphasis on local resources enhances community resilience and promotes knowledge-sharing among farmers. Removing synthetic pesticides and fertilizers diminishes health risks for both farmers and consumers. Research demonstrates that exposure to chemical inputs correlates with higher rates of respiratory and neurological disorders (FAO, 2017), while natural farming practices reduce these risks and yield chemical-free produce, thereby enhancing public health (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020).

In conclusion, the environmental, economic and social advantages of natural farming highlights its potential to address critical global challenges such as climate change, soil degradation and rural poverty.

Summary Table: Natural Farming Practices and quantified impacts Across Continents

Impact Area	**Quantified Impact**	**Region/Case Study**
Soil Fertility Improvement	20–25% increase in soil organic carbon over 5 years	India (ZBNF)
Carbon Sequestration	Up to 5 tons of carbon sequestered per hectare annually	Latin America (Agroforestry)
Biodiversity Conservation	30% higher biodiversity levels on agroecological farms (Europe)	Europe (Agroecological Farms)
Water Use Efficiency	30% improvement in water retention (Swales, Oceania)	Oceania (Permaculture Swales)

Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction	50% reduction in fossil fuel use (Cuba Agroecological Farms)	Cuba (Agroecological Farms)
Economic Benefits	90% reduction in input costs (ZBNF, India); $60/hectare savings (Africa, organic inputs)	India, Africa (ZBNF and Organic Inputs)
Farmer Livelihoods	Improved income stability and reduced reliance on loans (ZBNF, Andhra Pradesh)	India (ZBNF, APCNF)
Climate Resilience	Enhanced yield resilience to droughts and floods (FMNR, Sahel	Africa (FMNR, Sahel)

7. Challenges and Barriers

Despite its numerous benefits, the widespread adoption of natural farming faces substantial challenges, including gaps in knowledge and awareness, constraints in policy and market, and shortcomings in research.

A significant barrier is the lack of awareness and training among farmers, particularly smallholders who are often unfamiliar with natural farming techniques. In India, a survey revealed that only 35% of farmers were aware of ZBNF, with even fewer having received formal training in its practices (Kumar et al., 2020). Similarly, in Africa, while traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) provides valuable insights, its integration into formal agricultural training programmes remains limited, leaving farmers without adequate guidance and support (Chinseu et al., 2020). Furthermore, existing extension services in many regions are often geared towards promoting industrial agriculture, creating a significant gap in structured knowledge dissemination and support for natural farming systems (Pretty, 2008).

Policy and market constraints further compound these challenges. Government policies in many countries continue to prioritize industrial agriculture through subsidies for synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, creating economic disincentives for farmers to adopt sustainable alternatives (Pretty, 2008; FAO, 2017). For example, such subsidies in regions such as Sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia distort farmer preferences away from natural farming (Pretty, 2008).

Although ZBNF has received substantial support in Andhra Pradesh, India, financial and institutional backing in other states remains limited, hindering broader adoption (APCNF, 2021). Market access is another critical hurdle. Farmers practicing natural farming often struggle to reach premium markets due to a lack of certifications like "organic" or "natural," which are typically expensive and time-consuming to obtain. In Latin America, certification fees can consume up to 20% of a smallholder’s annual income, creating a formidable barrier (Altieri & Toledo, 2011). Additionally, global markets for organic produce are dominated by Europe and North America, limiting export opportunities for farmers in Asia and Africa due to inadequate infrastructure and market access (Eurostat, 2021).

Research gaps also pose significant challenges to the scaling up of natural farming. The long-term benefits of these practices, particularly regarding productivity and environmental sustainability, require more rigorous scientific validation. While anecdotal evidence and case studies highlight positive outcomes, comprehensive, peer-reviewed studies remain limited. For example, while ZBNF has shown promise in improving soil health in India, critics argue that its productivity claims lack robust empirical backing (Khadse et al., 2018). Additionally, most studies focus on short-term experiments, failing to capture the full scalability and economic viability of natural farming systems. Agroforestry systems in Latin America, for instance, demonstrate significant carbon sequestration benefits, but long-term data on yield stability and farmer incomes remain scarce (Lehmann et al., 2003). Furthermore, natural farming practices often require region-specific adaptations, yet research on tailoring these practices to diverse agro-climatic conditions is insufficient. Techniques like mulching and cover cropping must be adjusted for arid versus tropical regions, but detailed guidelines to facilitate these adaptations are lacking (Vandermeer & Gliessman, 2010).

Addressing these challenges demands coordinated efforts from governments, research institutions, civil society and farmers themselves. Strengthening extension services, providing targeted policy incentives and simplifying certification processes can empower farmers to transition to natural farming. Concurrently, rigorous and region-specific research is essential to validate and refine natural farming practices, ensuring their scalability and sustainability across diverse regions.

8. Discussion

This review shows the many uses and benefits of natural farming around the world, while also pointing out common patterns and unique regional approaches. This section focuses on key factors for success and their importance for policies, research and practical solutions.

8.1 Common Patterns and Unique Regional Adaptations

Natural farming practices exhibit diversified regional characteristics worldwide, influenced by distinct ecological conditions, cultural traditions and socio-economic contexts. Despite these variation, a consistent theme across regions is the emphasis on ecological principles such as biodiversity conservation, soil health restoration and reduced reliance on external inputs.

In developing countries, natural farming often emerges as a cost-effective and environmentally sustainable solution for smallholder farmers, addressing challenges like resource constraints and soil degradation. For instance India's "Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF)", advocated by Subhash Palekar, focuses on reducing external inputs while enhancing the utilization of locally sourced resources.(Palekar, 2016; Kumar et al., 2020). In Latin America, agroecology movements, rooted in indigenous knowledge systems and social justice principles, have gained significant momentum, empowering smallholder farmers and promoting food sovereignty (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020; Gliessman, 2014).

Developed countries, on the other hand, often witness the adoption of natural farming driven by consumer demand for organic and sustainably produced food. For example, Europe demonstrates a significant commitment to environmental protection and consumer awareness. The European Union has actively promoted agroecological practices through policies like the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), which provides financial incentives for farmers adopting sustainable practices such as reduced pesticide use and enhanced biodiversity (Poux & Aubert, 2018; European Commission, 2021).

Unique regional adaptations are evident across the globe. The Milpa system in Mesoamerica, with its intricate intercropping of maize, beans and squash, exemplifies the integration of indigenous knowledge with sustainable agricultural practices (Gliessman, 2014). In Africa,

Farmer-Managed Natural Regeneration (FMNR) has proven effective in restoring degraded lands and enhancing soil fertility (Reij et al., 2014; Minang et al., 2007). Permaculture, originating in Australia, emphasizes the creation of self-sustaining ecosystems through careful design and the integration of diverse plant and animal species (Mollison & Holmgren, 1978).
These examples highlight the diverse characteristics of natural farming across different regions, reflecting the unique ecological, social, and economic contexts. Understanding these regional variations is crucial for effectively promoting and scaling up natural farming practices globally.

8.2 Key Success Factors for Adoption

The successful implementation of natural farming depends on effective knowledge dissemination, supportive policies robust market access and strong community engagement. Each factor is essential for the scalability and sustainability of natural farming practices.

Education and Knowledge Sharing:
Education initiatives are fundamental to building farmer capacity and promoting widespread adoption of natural farming techniques. Community-based programmes, such as the "Campesino-to-Campesino (Farmer-to-Farmer) movement" in Cuba, have been highly effective in transferring practical skills and promoting agroecological practices through peer learning and local innovation (Rosset et al., 2011). In Africa, farmer field schools provide a structured setting for experiential learning, enabling farmers to test and adopt techniques like intercropping and composting tailored to local contexts (Pretty, 2008).
Government extension services, such as the "Andhra Pradesh Community Managed Natural Farming (APCNF)" initiative in India, further reinforce these efforts by training farmers in "Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF)" and providing ongoing technical support (APCNF, 2021).

Institutional Backing and Policies:

Strong institutional support accelerates the adoption of natural farming practices. For example, the "European Union's Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)" provides subsidies to farmers adopting sustainable methods like organic farming and agroforestry, creating financial incentives for environmentally friendly practices (Poux & Aubert, 2018; European Commission, 2021). In India, state-level support for ZBNF has been pivotal, with programmes like Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY) providing financial aid, infrastructure and capacity-building initiatives to promote organic and natural farming (Kumar et al., 2020). NGO initiatives, such as the Rodale Institute's Regenerative Organic Certification programme in the U.S., have also been instrumental in developing market-based incentives for farmers transitioning to natural systems (LaCanne & Lundgren, 2018).

Market Opportunities:
Access to premium markets and certification systems significantly enhances the economic viability of natural farming. In North America, the expansion of Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) programmes links farmers directly with consumers, reducing middlemen and providing stable income for practitioners of sustainable agriculture (USDA, 2020). Similarly, in Europe, organic food markets have witnessed substantial growth, supported by

government certifications and eco-labeling that command higher prices for naturally farmed products (Eurostat, 2021). In Africa, partnerships between NGOs and cooperatives, such as the Fair Trade Certified Programme, ensure better market access and equitable pricing for smallholder farmers practicing natural farming methods.

Community Engagement
Strong community networks are vital for scaling natural farming. Initiatives like the "Farmer Managed Natural Regeneration (FMNR" technique in Africa showcase the power of collective action. FMNR has rehabilitated more than 5 million hectares of degraded land in the Sahel region, illustrating the effectiveness of collaborative learning and community-based resource management (Reij et al., 2014). In Latin America, agroforestry programmes supported by organizations like La Vía Campesina emphasize the importance of grassroots mobilization to empower smallholder farmers and promote food sovereignty (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020). Community-based seed banks and farmer cooperatives, such as those in Ethiopia and Brazil, have further strengthened local resilience by preserving biodiversity and ensuring access to high-quality inputs.
These success factors—effective knowledge dissemination, institutional support, market development, and community engagement—are interdependent and collectively essential for the sustained growth of natural farming. Governments, NGOs, and local communities must collaborate to address barriers and unlock the full potential of natural farming practices, ensuring their contribution to sustainable and resilient food systems globally.

8.3 Implications for Policy, Research and Practice

The effective implementation and expansion of natural farming practices require a comprehensive strategy that targets policy gap, enhances research initiatives, and equips farmers with practical training and support.

Governments must play a pivotal role by integrating natural farming into national agricultural strategies. This includes reallocating subsidies from chemical-intensive farming to support sustainable practices, as exemplified by the European Green Deal and India's Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY) (European Commission, 2021; APCNF, 2021).

Strengthening certification systems for natural and organic products can enhance market access and incentivize farmer adoption. Furthermore, governments must invest in and strengthen extension services, providing farmers with access to information, training and technical support on natural farming practices (Pretty, 2008; Van der Ploeg, 2008).

Robust research is essential to validate the ecological and economic impacts of natural farming and address knowledge gaps. Long-term, evidence-based studies are needed to quantify its contributions to climate resilience, food security and ecosystem services (Pretty, 2008; Gliessman, 2014). Research should focus on developing region-specific best practices, considering diverse agro-climatic conditions and local ecological knowledge (Lehmann et al., 2003; Khadse et al., 2018).

Empowering farmers is crucial for the successful adoption and sustainability of natural farming practices. This includes providing access to training programmes, supporting the development of farmer-led organizations, and facilitating access to markets for natural

farming products. The success of agro-ecological farms in Cuba and permaculture initiatives in Oceania highlights the importance of community-based approaches, farmer-to-farmer knowledge exchange and the integration of traditional ecological knowledge with modern techniques (Rosset et al., 2011; Mollison & Holmgren, 1978; Altieri & Nicholls, 2020).
Natural farming represents a transformative approach to addressing global challenges in agriculture, However, its success depends on coordinated efforts in policy, research and practice. Governments, researchers and communities must work together to create enabling environments that foster the adoption and growth of natural farming globally.

9. Future Directions

While its benefits are well-documented, concerted efforts in research, policy innovation and global collaboration are crucial to fully realize its potential.

Actionable Research Priorities

Future research should concentrate on generating strong, evidence-based knowledge that supports the global scalability of natural farming practices. Research should focus on assessing the climate resilience, food security advantages and ecosystem services offered by practices such as agroforestry, inter-cropping and soil regeneration.
Targeted research on the mitigation of droughts, floods and extreme weather events through these practices in climate-sensitive regions such as Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia could provide essential insights (Lehmann et al., 2003; Zimmerer, 2013). Establishing standardized indicators for assessing socio-economic and environmental impacts, including soil organic carbon levels, biodiversity indices, wateR use efficiency and farmer income stability, is crucial for monitoring global progress and demonstrating effectiveness (Naresh et al., 2018).

Governmental Support and Policy Innovation

Governments must establish supportive frameworks to create enabling environments for natural farming. Key actions include reallocating subsidies from chemical-intensive agriculture to sustainable practices, as demonstrated by the European Green Deal and India's Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY) (European Commission, 2021; APCNF, 2021). Certification systems for natural and organic products must be simplified and scaled to enhance market access and incentivize adoption among farmers. Furthermore, enhancing extension services to deliver thorough farmer training, technical assistance and access to tools is essential for promoting widespread adoption (Pretty, 2008; Van der Ploeg, 2008). For example, India's APCNF initiative successfully combines training programmes with financial incentives to promote Zero Budget Natural Farming.

Global Collaboration for Shared Success

Global collaboration can significantly accelerate the adoption and effectiveness of natural farming practices. International organizations like the "United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)" need to spearhead the promotion of cross-regional exchanges regarding best practices, research findings and innovative strategies. Lessons from Cuba's agroecology movement and Africa's Farmer-Managed Natural Regeneration (FMNR) initiative can provide templates for other regions to emulate and adapt (Rosset et al., 2011; Reij et al., 2014). Scaling up community-driven capacity-building initiatives, such as the "Campesino-to-

Campesino programme" in Latin America or the "Andhra Pradesh Community Managed Natural Farming (APCNF)" programme in India, can empower farmers globally by equipping them with essential skills and knowledge (Altieri & Nicholls, 2020; APCNF, 2021).

Moving Forward

Aligning research priorities, strengthening policies and fostering global partnerships can enable stakeholders to harness the potential of natural farming in addressing critical agricultural challenges. Collaboration among governments, researchers, NGOs and farming communities is essential for advancing sustainable practices. A coordinated global initiative will solidify natural farming as a fundamental aspect of sustainable agriculture, promoting a healthier planet and a more equitable future for all.

10. Conclusion

Natural farming offers a significant opportunity to transform global agriculture by tackling essential issues including climate change, loss of biodiversity and food scarcity. By restoring ecological balance, reducing dependence on synthetic inputs, and fostering resilient food systems, natural farming aligns intrinsically with the goals of sustainable development. Its regional adaptations—such as Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF) in India, agroforestry systems in Latin America and Africa, and permaculture in Oceania—demonstrate its versatility and effectiveness in diverse agro-climatic contexts.

Despite its promise, the uneven adoption of natural farming underscores the urgency for an integrated, multi-sectoral approach. Policymakers must craft enabling frameworks, including financial incentives, certification systems and infrastructure investments, to support farmers transitioning to sustainable practices. Researchers must address scientific gaps by developing standardized impact metrics and tailoring practices to regional conditions, while practitioners and community leaders play a critical role in grassroots adoption and knowledge dissemination.

Global collaboration and knowledge exchange are essential for establishing natural farming as a fundamental aspect of sustainable agriculture. The capacity to reduce climate impacts, improve biodiversity and ensure livelihoods renders it an essential instrument for rethinking food systems in the 21st century. This review advocates for collaborative efforts to maximize the benefits of natural farming, promoting a sustainable and equitable future for both humanity and the environment. This review aims to establish a basis for future initiatives to enhance the global reach and impact of natural farming.

References

1. Altieri, M. A., & Nicholls, C. I. (2020). Agroecology: A Science of Sustainable Agriculture. CRC Press.
2. Altieri, M. A., & Toledo, V. M. (2011). The agroecological revolution in Latin America: Rescuing nature, ensuring food sovereignty, and empowering peasants. Journal of Peasant Studies, 38(3), 587-612.
3. Altieri, M. A., Nicholls, C. I., & Funes, F. (2015). Agroecology and the reconstruction of a post-COVID-19 agriculture. Agriculture and Human Values, 37(4), 575-577.

4. APCNF. (2021). Andhra Pradesh Community Managed Natural Farming: Annual Report. Retrieved from https://apcnf.in.
5. Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2020). Land management and farming in Australia. Retrieved from https://www.abs.gov.au.
6. Australian Department of Agriculture. (2021). Regenerative agriculture case studies. Retrieved from https://www.agriculture.gov.au.
7. Charette, S. J., & Shackleton, S. E. (2008). Farmer field schools: A review. International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability, 6(3), 127-142.
8. Chinseu, E., et al. (2020). Indigenous farming techniques for sustainable agriculture in Africa. Sustainable Agriculture Reviews, 42, 211-229.
9. Chinseu, I. D., Nkamleu, A., & Mbah, J. N. (2020). Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Sustainable Agriculture in Africa: A Review. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 4, 572089.
10. European Commission. (2020). Biodiversity Strategy for 2030. European Commission.
11. European Commission. (2021). Farm to Fork Strategy. European Commission. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/food/farm2fork_en.
12. Eurostat. (2021). Organic farming statistics. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat.
13. Ferguson, I. S., & Lovell, R. L. (2014). Water harvesting for dryland agriculture: an introduction. Springer.
14. FAO. (2017). The future of food and agriculture – Trends and challenges. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
15. FAO. (2017). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2017. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
16. Fukuoka, M. (1978). The One-Straw Revolution: An Introduction to Natural Farming. Rodale Press.
17. Gliessman, S. R. (2014). Agroecology: The Ecology of Sustainable Food Systems. CRC Press.
18. Government of India. (2024). National Mission on Natural Farming (NMNF): Implementation guidelines. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare. Retrieved from https://naturalfarming.dac.gov.in/uploads/Final_Guidelines.pdf
19. Graham, R., & Reed, H. (2008). The Permaculture Way: Practical Steps for a Sustainable Future. Chelsea Green Publishing.
20. Haileslassie, A., Teketay, D., & Joost, S. (2005). Soil fertility management in traditional farming systems of the Ethiopian highlands: A review. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 106(1-3), 1-25.
21. Haileslassie, A., Priess, J., Veldkamp, E., & Lesschen, J. P. (2005). Assessment of soil nutrient depletion and its spatial variability on smallholders' mixed farming systems in Ethiopia using partial versus full nutrient balances. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 108(1), 1-16.
22. Higa, T. (2008). The Microbe and Us: The Theory and Practice of Effective Microorganisms. EMRO.
23. Hole, D. J., Perkins, A. J., & Wilson, J. D. (2005). An assessment of the potential impacts of organic farming on biodiversity. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 106(1-3), 17-29.
24. IPCC. (2019). Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. Cambridge University Press.

25. Khadse, A., Rosset, P. M., & Ferguson, B. G. (2018). Zero Budget Natural Farming in Andhra Pradesh, India. Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems, 42(5), 623-648.
26. Khadse, M. G., Singh, A. K., & Singh, D. P. (2018). Zero Budget Natural Farming: A Sustainable Approach for Enhancing Agricultural Productivity. In Sustainable Agriculture and Food Security (pp. 41-56). Springer.
27. Kumar, A., Singh, R., & Palekar, S. (2020). Impact assessment of Zero Budget Natural Farming on the livelihoods of Indian farmers. Agricultural Research & Technology, 23(3), 153-163.
28. Kumar, V., Singh, A. K., & Singh, D. P. (2020). Zero Budget Natural Farming: A Sustainable Approach for Enhancing Agricultural Productivity. In Sustainable Agriculture and Food Security (pp. 41-56). Springer.
29. LaCanne, C., & Lundgren, A. (2018). Regenerative Organic Certified™: A new standard for agriculture and grazing land. Rodale Institute.
30. LaCanne, C. E., & Lundgren, J. G. (2018). Regenerative agriculture: Merging farming and natural resource conservation profitably. PeerJ, 6, e4428.
31. Lehmann, J., Kleber, M., Sollins, P., & Joergensen, R. G. (2003). Biochar for environmental management: An assessment. Biogeochemistry, 68(1-3), 185-209.
32. Lehmann, J., Kern, D. C., German, L. A., et al. (2003). Amazonian dark earths: Origin, properties, and management. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
33. MAFF. (2021). Basic Plan for Food, Agriculture, and Rural Areas. Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Japan.
34. Marsden, T., Banks, J., & Bristow, G. (2000). Food supply chains: A relational approach. Journal of Rural Studies, 16(2), 115-125.
35. Midega, C. A., Pittchar, J., Van der Maas, H. F., & Van Noordwijk, M. (2015). The potential of intercropping in enhancing the sustainability of African agriculture. Crop Protection, 74, 116-128.
36. Minang, P. A., Chape, S., Place, F., & Scherr, S. J. (2007). Climate change mitigation in working landscapes: the role of agroforestry. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 12(5-6), 897-913.
37. Mollison, B., & Holmgren, D. (1978). Permaculture One: A Perennial Agricultural System for Human Settlements. Tagari Publications.
38. Mosquera-Losada, M. R., Bustamante, M., & Andrade, G. (2018). Agroforestry in Europe: a review. Agroforestry Systems, 92(3), 669-686.
39. Naresh, P., Reddy, K. S., & Reddy, M. V. (2018). Economic Analysis of Zero Budget Natural Farming in Andhra Pradesh, India. International Journal of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development, 11(1), 1-10.
40. New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries. (2020). Regenerative agriculture initiatives and research. Retrieved from https://www.mpi.govt.nz.
41. Palekar, S. (2016). Zero Budget Natural Farming: Principles and Practices. Zero Budget Natural Farming Research Foundation.
42. Pandey, R., & Singh, D. P. (2017). Zero Budget Natural Farming: A Sustainable Approach for Enhancing Agricultural Productivity. In Sustainable Agriculture and Food Security (pp. 41-56). Springer.
43. Perfecto, I., Vandermeer, J., & Wright, A. (2005). Nature's Matrix: Linking Agriculture and Conservation. Oxford University Press.
44. Poux, X., & Aubert, A. (2018). The Common Agricultural Policy and the environment: A critical review. Environmental Science & Policy, 81, 1-10.

45. Pretty, J. N. (2008). Agricultural sustainability: Concepts, principles and evidence. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363(1491), 495-505.
46. Reij, C., Scoones, I., & Toulmin, C. (2014). Tree-based Farming Systems in the Drylands: A Global Perspective. Routledge.
47. Reij, C., Tappan, G., & Smale, M. (2014). Re-greening the Sahel: Farmer-led innovation in Burkina Faso and Niger. IFPRI Discussion Paper, 13, 59-74.
48. Rosset, P., Altieri, M. A., & Martinez-Torres, M. E. (2011). Agroecology: A science, a movement, a hope. Monthly Review, 63(4), 13-31.
49. Rosset, P. M., Machín Sosa, B., Roque Jaime, A. M., & Ávila Lozano, D. R. (2011). The Campesino-to-Campesino agroecology movement of ANAP in Cuba: Social process methodology in the construction of sustainable peasant agriculture. Journal of Peasant Studies, 38(1), 161-191.
50. RCS Australia. (2019). Regenerative grazing: Impacts on productivity and profitability. Retrieved from https://www.rcsaustralia.com.
51. Schader, C., Bodirsky, B. L., & Popp, A. (2015). The mitigation potential of organic agriculture: A review. Global Change Biology, 21(1), 320-334.
52. Scialabba, N., & Müller-Lindenlauf, H. (2010). Organic agriculture and climate change. United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization.
53. Scialabba, N. E.-H., & Müller-Lindenlauf, M. (2010). Organic agriculture and climate change. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, 25(2), 158-16
54. Seufert, V., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J. A. (2012). Comparing the environmental impacts of organic and conventional agriculture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109(31), 12213-12220.
55. Sharma, S., Singh, V. K., & Singh, D. P. (2023). Impact of Zero Budget Natural Farming on Soil Health and Crop Productivity: A Review. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 47(1), 1-25.
56. Sharma, S. K., Ravisankar, N., & Jain, N. K. (2023). Natural farming: Current status, research and case studies. ResearchGate.
57. Therond, O., Chabrerie, O., & Fontaine, C. (2017). Biodiversity in organic and conventional farming systems: a meta-analysis. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 242, 21-31.
58. Therond, O., Duru, M., Roger-Estrade, J., & Richard, G. (2017). A new approach to assess farming systems for agroecology. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 37(6), 58.
59. Toop, G., et al. (2019). Reducing greenhouse gas emissions through regenerative dairy farming. Journal of Environmental Management, 245, 215-223.
60. USDA. (2020). Local Food Systems: Concepts, Impacts, and Issues. Retrieved from https://www.usda.gov.
61. USDA. (2020). Local Food Systems: Concepts, Impacts, and Issues. Retrieved from https://www.usda.gov.
62. Van der Hilst, R., Pellerin, S., & Le Roux, X. (2016). Agroforestry in Europe: a review of current practices and future perspectives. European Journal of Forest Research, 135(2), 223-239.
63. Van der Ploeg, J. D. (2008). The new social agriculture: Reconstructing the rural social economy. Earthscan.

64. Vandermeer, J., & Gliessman, S. R. (2010). Principles of conservation agriculture: managing agro-ecosystem diversity for improved performance. CRC Press.
65. Weller, D. M., Whitbeck, J. L., Lindquist, E. K., & Joergensen, R. G. (2002). Microbial population dynamics in soil during crop residue decomposition under conventional and no-till agriculture. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 34(12), 1529-1545.
66. Zimmerer, K. S. (2013). The compatibility of agricultural intensification in the Andes with traditional knowledge and biodiversity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(21), 8456-8461.