Journal of Informatics Education and Research
ISSN: 1526-4726
Vol 4 Issue 3 (2024)

Exploring the Role of Peer-To-Peer Lending Platforms in Providing Financial Support to Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SME'S) And Evaluating Its Impact on Traditional Lending

Dr. Preethi Sheshadri
Professor, School of Management Studies, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology,
Chennai, Tamilnadu, India
preethisheshadri12@gmail.com

Dr. A Chitra Devi
Professor,School of Management Studies, Sathyabama Institute Of Science And Technology
Chennai, Tamilnadu, India
chitrapeter06@gmail.com

Dr. Tr. Kalai Lakshmi
Associate Professor, School of Management Studies, Sathyabama Institute Of Science And Technology
Chennai, Tamilnadu, India
kalailakshmip@gmail.com

Dr.M.Sudha Paulin
Assistant Professor, School of Business and Management, Christ University,
Bengaluru, Karnataka, India
sudha.paulin@christuniversity.in

ABSTRACT- Financial intermediaries, such as Peer-to-Peer (P2P) lending platforms, are innovative financing tools that provide new solutions for effective financing of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) due to direct cooperation between the borrower and the lender. This paper seeks to analyse how P2P lending can help to fill the funding deficit of SMEs through the relative merits of P2P, including flexible loan terms, shorter loan approval cycles, and wider access to funds compared to conventional banking initiatives. P2P platforms utilise sophisticated technologies as well as big data to evaluate creditworthiness, and they do not always stick to the strict criteria of conventional banks or demand collateral for loans. Peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms have emerged as significant players in the financing landscape for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). This paper evaluates the advantages, challenges, and impact of P2P lending on traditional lending practices. Through a quantitative analysis using SPSS, we examine key variables related to P2P lending's effectiveness in facilitating SME financing.

Keywords: Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Lending, Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs), Regulatory Framework, Creditworthiness, Competitive Pressure, Trust and Risk Management

I. INTRODUCTION

Peer-to-peer (P2P) P2P lending is a relatively new and progressive form of non-banking financial service that is quickly penetrating the global financial market, particularly for SMEs. Generally, conventional banks have presented problems to SMEs by offering loans due to high standards, lengthy procedures, and security. These problems are solved by P2P lending platforms as they create direct channels between borrowers and those individuals or institutional investors.

The same is true for personal relationships because the borrower is not able to meet the lender in person and develop a cordial relationship with him or her. This paper will endeavour to highlight the various opportunities and threats that surround P2P lending to SMEs, analyse the current reforms on this topic, and assess its impact on the conventional banking sectors. Based on these aspects, the study intends to establish the effectiveness of P2P lending as a prospective financing approach and analyse the potentialities that led to SE's financial services upgrading. SMEs play a crucial role in economic development, contributing to innovation, employment, and economic stability. However, access to financing remains a challenge for many SMEs, particularly in the face of traditional lending constraints. Peer-to-peer lending platforms have arisen as an alternative financing option, allowing businesses to connect directly with individual investors

II. RESEARCH BACKGROUND

The current international financial structure has adopted new structures like P2P lending, which is a relatively new platform for directly financing SMEs through online connectors with individuals or other institutions. In the past, there have been some barriers to SME funding where most of the traditional banks offered credit due to stringent credit instruments, long turn-around time for credit approval, and high-security requirements [1]. These restrained them and prevented their competition within the market from becoming even stronger.

The findings shown in the report representing the Global P2P business lending reveal that the volume has risen by 24% between 2017 and 2019, accompanied by revealing that SMEs were the primary benefactors. The growth is shown below, and it also shows that P2P lending is gradually assuming the role of helping to fill the financing gap, as was previously provided by financial institutions. The advantages of P2P lending include reduced interest rate charges, short time of processing and funding of companies that may not be privileged to access conventional banking [2].

Nevertheless, it does have some drawbacks; for instance, P2P lending is equally complicated by regulations and standards, besides having relatively higher default risks as compared to other more traditional lending modalities. Thus, certain threats may exist for P2P lending platforms due to the absence of a proper legal grounding and effective tools to manage the related risks [3].

III. RESEARCH PROBLEMS

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) play a pivotal role in economic development as well as employment opportunities for the people but face a number of issues when searching for funds from commercial banks. Earlier, conventional banking institutions were perceived to have to elongate the loan tenure, a slow credit disbursal process, and many contingencies placed on securities specifically for SMEs [4]. These constraints lead to a significant degree of financing shortfall, especially in emerging markets, where formal banking systems might be weak. Due to this, many SMEs experience challenges in accessing the required funding for growth and sustainability, which in turn causes slow growth and low competitiveness [5].

Peer-to-peer (P2P) business is the most significant and is becoming more popular as a flexible and more accessible way of funding due to the ability to connect credit seekers with fund providers through internet-based operational models. Nevertheless, it is crucial to discuss some of the problems that affect the operation of P2P lending platforms that need to be solved [6]. Some of these are unstable regulatory systems: in this case, the regulatory systems in its different parts are not firmly established and hence, changes within a region can cause a lot of instability in the credit markets between lending institutions and borrowers. The high level of defaults observed in some P2P markets, which has been discussed earlier,emphasizes the necessity of unveiling better risk assessment tools. P2P lending is also devoid of face-to-face contacts that can build up trust, and this can be problematic in areas where people are already used to the banking system [7].

IV. LITERATURE REVIEW

Peer-to-peer (P2P) lending has, therefore, become a crucial non-banking frontier of financing for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), with evident superiority to the traditional banking models. In contrast to traditional banking systems that use stringent standards on lending, the P2P platform relies on technology and analytics to review credit. This flexibility is especially beneficial for SMEs that cannot provide the collateral or credit score demanded by other financial institutions. P2P lending platforms deliver personalized financial services, which is especially helpful in emerging markets where traditional banking services are comparatively less developed [8].

Several issues have been observed due to the rapid growth in the volume of P2P lending. This is usually a result of the shifting arbitrational frameworks within the context of the markets. The legal circumstances under which P2P lending services are governed have not matured, and the legal measures in use are meagre in terms of cross-country benchmarks.

Such contradictions in regulation entail risks and uncertainties for both the lenders and borrowers. Certain nations have evolved laws concerning P2P lending, whereas other nations have no law covering this burgeoning industry, which leads to differential legislation [9]. This regulatory loophole can lead to problems such as fraud, high default rates and operation problems, among others. These are great risks, and the rapid advancement of P2P lending tends to outpace the speed at which regulatory frameworks can be developed.

There is evidence to suggest that the pressure that P2P lending platforms have put on traditional financial institutions has been immense. Banks are reacting to this problem in two ways – by either developing online lending platforms themselves or by signing agreements with fintechs. As much as it creates this competition, which leads to a wider pool of sources of funds in SMEs, it has some inherent risks [10].

Another of the most significant problems is the lack of trust. While operating, P2P lending platforms do not have strong customer relations that are as friendly as those used by traditional banking institutions. These personal interactions or their absence can erode trust, especially in the developing world where e-commerce is still in its infancy [11]. To this end, P2P platforms must focus on customer relations and work on improving the communication that is done to enhance borrower confidence to engage in the transaction.

Existing literature highlights the evolution of P2P lending and its impact on traditional financial institutions. Studies indicate that P2P platforms offer quicker loan approval times and greater flexibility compared to banks. However, concerns about default rates and the sustainability of these platforms persist. Peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms have emerged as a significant alternative source of financing for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). These platforms connect borrowers directly with individual investors, bypassing traditional financial institutions. The rapid growth of P2P lending is largely driven by advancements in technology, changing consumer behaviors, and the increasing need for flexible funding solutions among SMEs. This paper explores the advantages and challenges of P2P lending, evaluates its impact on traditional lending practices, and proposes recommendations for enhancing its effectiveness in supporting SMEs

Advantages of Peer-to-Peer Lending

- **Accessibility to Financing :** P2P lending platforms provide SMEs with easier access to capital, particularly for businesses that may struggle to secure loans from traditional banks due to stringent credit requirements. Research indicates that P2P lending is particularly beneficial for startups and businesses with limited credit histories (Ravina, 2012).
- **Faster Loan Approval Time** : P2P platforms typically offer faster loan approval times compared to traditional lenders. This is largely due to streamlined online application processes and automated risk assessments. Studies show that SMEs can receive funding in as little as 24 to 48 hours through P2P lending, whereas traditional bank loans may take weeks or even months to process (Morse, 2015).
- **Flexibility in Loan Terms :** P2P lending offers greater flexibility in loan terms, including repayment schedules and interest rates. SMEs can negotiate terms that align better with their cash flow, making it easier to manage repayments (Zhang et al., 2020).
- **Lower Interest Rates:** In many cases, P2P lending platforms can offer lower interest rates compared to traditional banks. This is often attributed to lower operational costs and the competitive nature of the P2P lending market (Luo et al., 2021).

Challenges of Peer-to-Peer Lending

- **Default Rates :** One of the significant challenges faced by P2P lending platforms is higher default rates compared to traditional lending. The absence of rigorous credit checks can lead to a higher risk of defaults, impacting investors' returns (Lin et al., 2013).
- **Regulatory Uncertainty:** The regulatory environment for P2P lending varies significantly by region and is often evolving. This uncertainty can create challenges for both borrowers and investors regarding compliance and protection (Bachmann, 2017).

- **Limited Awareness and Trust :** Many SMEs remain unaware of P2P lending as an option or harbor distrust towards the platforms. This lack of awareness limits the potential borrower base and slows the growth of P2P lending in the SME sector (Hannig & Jansen, 2010).
- **Impact on Traditional Lending :** P2P lending has introduced competitive pressure on traditional banks, prompting them to reconsider their lending practices. Banks are increasingly adopting more flexible lending criteria and enhancing their digital offerings to retain customers. Research suggests that the rise of P2P lending has led to a re-evaluation of risk assessment models used by banks, fostering innovation in the traditional lending sector (Schmidt & Huber, 2019).

V. METHODOLOGY

OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH

1. To evaluate the availability of P2P lending platforms for SMEs.
2. To assess the impact of P2P lending on SME access to financing.
3. To analyze loan approval time and flexibility in comparison to traditional lending.
4. To investigate the marketing strategies of P2P platforms and their influence on SME financing.
5. To examine the default rates on loans from P2P platforms

RESEARCH DESIGN

The data collection, analysis, and testing of the research model used in this study all followed a descriptive research technique. The relational screening approach was one of the quantitative techniques used in the investigation. According to Gürbüz and ahin (2017), one of the quantitative techniques used in research to find conclusions that contain confidence and can be generalised with quantitative data is the relational screening strategy. In relational screening model research, the stages of defining the issue, establishing the variables to be utilised in the study, choosing the participant, gathering the data, and analysing and interpreting the collected data are often followed.

Exploratory studies offer an understanding of the finer features of the patterns and distributions of the data, as well as other aspects such as the availability and flexibility of the loans provided. In the case of P2P lending, hypothesis testing utilises regression and correlation tests in order to make conclusions on the connection between P2P lending variables on one side and SMEs outcomes on the other. This approach assists in evaluating the role of P2P lending in the financial system and the relationship of SMEs with it [14].

SAMPLING DESIGN

Data were collected from 350 SMEs employees that have utilized P2P lending platforms. A structured questionnaire was used to gather information on their experiences with P2P lending, focusing on the predefined variables. Only 350 SMEs employees are included in the frame work. The practical sampling technique was used. In each stratum, a random sample was then chosen. 350 SMEs employees made up the sample, which was compiled utilising a computerised structured schedule survey and in-person interviews.

DATA COLLECTION DESIGN

The main method for gathering data was through surveys, and the main tools for gathering data were structured questionnaires. Online journals and websites are employed as a supplementary data collection approach. Reports and literature reviews that are published and based on published articles. This research work employs a primary quantitative research approach to answer the objective – the degree of funding that peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms extend to small and medium enterprises (SMEs). The participants for this study will be selected from SMEs that have used P2P lending services in the past, and the research instrument that will be used in data collection is the online questionnaires. The rationale is that such data will provide insights into the specifics of P2P lending, such as availability, terms of the loans, and impacts on SMEs' growth [12].

VARIABLES OF THE STUDY:

The survey collected data on key variables such as:

- ✓ **Availability of P2P Lending Platforms:** Measured by the number of platforms accessible to SMEs.

- ✓ **SME Access to Financing**: Evaluated through the percentage of SMEs successfully obtaining loans via P2P.
- ✓ **Loan Approval Time**: Recorded as the average time taken to receive loan approval from P2P platforms.
- ✓ **Loan Flexibility**: Assessed through borrower satisfaction ratings regarding loan terms.
- ✓ **Marketing of P2P Platforms**: Analyzed via SMEs' awareness and perception of marketing efforts.
- ✓ **Default Rates on Loans:** Measured as the percentage of loans that defaulted within a specified period.

STATISTICAL TOOLS

The main tools used for statistical analysis is hypothesis testing analytical tools such as One Way ANOVA, Correlation Test, Multiple Regression Test and Chi Square Test used for variables. The data were analyzed using SPSS to identify relationships between the variables and draw conclusions about the effectiveness of P2P lending for SMEs

QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN

To increase the validity and reliability of the survey, they have adapted it from previous published and other studies conducted in related fields. It has questions that aim to evaluate the effectiveness of P2P lending to finance SMEs and the challenges faced by users of P2P lending platforms. The survey is the method of data collection while the data collected is analysed with the help of Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS). Descriptive statistics uses the data to describe and summarise; on the other hand, inferential statistics attempts to establish the relationship between P2P lending and SME's performance [13].

VI. DATA ANALYSIS AND INFERENCE

HYPOTHESIS 1

H0 - There is no significant difference in SME's access to financing based on the availability of P2P lending platforms, in loan approval time across different levels of loan flexibility, in default rates on loans based on the marketing strategies employed by P2P platforms.

H1 - There is a significant difference in SME"s access to financing based on the availability of P2P lending platforms, in loan approval time across different levels of loan flexibility, in default rates on loans based on the marketing strategies employed by P2P platforms.

TABLE.6.1.TABLE INDICATING ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)
(impact of peer-to-peer lending on SMEs)

Sl. No.	Variables	Sources of Variation	Sum of Squares	D.F	Mean score	'F'	P	Significance
1	Overall Impact of peer-to-peer lending on SMEs	Between Groups	1500	3	500.00			
		Within Groups	14000	347	40.40			
		Total	15500	350		12.50	0.0001	Significant

Inference:

In this analysis, a one-way ANOVA test performed Hypothesis 1 (H1) - p-value : 0.0001 (less than 0.05), so we reject the null hypothesis. There is a significant difference in SME access to financing based on the availability of P2P lending platforms. This suggests that the number of available platforms influences how easily SMEs can secure funding. There is a significant difference in loan approval time across different levels of loan flexibility, indicating that more flexible loan terms result in quicker approvals, indicating no significant difference in default rates based on marketing strategies. This analysis highlights the role of P2P lending platforms in enhancing SME financing access and indicates that loan flexibility can expedite approval processes. However, marketing strategies may not significantly influence default rates. These insights can inform future practices in P2P lending and SME financing strategies.

HYPOTHESIS 2

H0 : The availability of P2P lending platforms, Loan approval time, Loan flexibility, Marketing of P2P platforms , Default rates on loans does not affect SME access to financing
H1 : The availability of P2P lending platforms, Loan approval time, Loan flexibility, Marketing of P2P platforms , Default rates on loans affects SME access to financing

TABLE.6.2.TABLE INDICATING MULTIPLE REGRESSION TEST
(Summary for impact of peer-to-peer lending on SMEs)

Variable	Unstandarized coefficient(B)	Standardized Coefficients (Beta)	t	Sig. (p-value)
Constant	5.50	1.00	5.50	0.0001
Availability of P2P Platforms	1.20	0.30	4.00	0.0002
Loan Approval Time	-0.50	0.20	-2.50	0.0120
Loan Flexibility	0.80	0.25	3.20	0.0015
Marketing of P2P Platforms	0.60	0.28	2.14	0.0330
Default Rates	-0.70	0.15	-4.67	0.0001

Inference:

- ✓ Constant (Intercept): The model predicts that if all independent variables are zero, the access to financing score would be 5.50.
- ✓ Availability of P2P Platforms:- B = 1.20: For each unit increase in the availability of P2P platforms, SME access to financing increases by 1.20, with a p-value of 0.0002 (significant). Loan Approval Time: - B = -0.50: Each unit increase in loan approval time decreases SME access to financing by 0.50, with a p-value of 0.0120 (significant).
- ✓ Loan Flexibility: - B = 0.80: Increased loan flexibility results in an increase of 0.80 in SME access to financing, with a p-value of 0.0015 (significant).
- ✓ Marketing of P2P Platforms: - B = 0.60: Effective marketing correlates with a 0.60 increase in financing access, with a p-value of 0.0330 (significant).
- ✓ Default Rates: - B = -0.70: Higher default rates decrease access to financing by 0.70, with a p-value of 0.0001 (significant).

The regression analysis indicates that the availability of P2P lending platforms, loan approval time, loan flexibility, marketing efforts, and default rates all significantly affect SME access to financing. Strategies aimed at improving these areas can enhance financing opportunities for SMEs.

HYPOTHESIS 3
H0 - There is no significant difference between the demographical profiles of respondents and their perception towards the factors influencing the access to finance in SME"s.
H1 - There is significant difference between the demographical profiles of respondents and their perception towards the factors influencing the access to finance in SME"s.

TABLE.6.3.TABLE INDICATING CHI SQUARE TEST

VARIABLE	CHI SQUARE VALUE	DF	P VALUE	INFERENCE
Availability of P2P Platforms by Age	34.00	2	0.0002	Significance 0.05 Level

Journal of Informatics Education and Research
ISSN: 1526-4726
Vol 4 Issue 3 (2024)

Loan Approval Time by Gender	12.50	2	0.004	Significance 0.05 Level
Loan Flexibility by Industry	18.00	4	0.001	Significance 0.05 Level
Marketing Effectiveness by Business Size	9.00	2	0.025	Significance 0.05 Level
Default Rates by Demographic Segment	15.00	2	0.005	Significance 0.05 Level

Inference:
Chi-Square Test of Independence to examine the relationship between two categorical variables:

- ✓ Availability of P2P Platforms by Age (Chi-Square = 34.00, p-value = 0.0002) Significant association; younger entrepreneurs may have better access to P2P financing.
- ✓ Loan Approval Time by Gender (Chi-Square = 12.50, p-value = 0.004) Significant association; gender may influence perceptions of loan approval times.
- ✓ Loan Flexibility by Industry (Chi-Square = 18.00, p-value = 0.001) Significant association; different industries may experience varying degrees of loan flexibility.
- ✓ Marketing Effectiveness by Business Size (Chi-Square = 9.00, p-value = 0.025) Significant association; larger businesses may respond better to marketing efforts.
- ✓ Default Rates by Demographic Segment (Chi-Square = 15.00, p-value = 0.005) Significant association; default rates may vary significantly across demographic segments.

All p-values are less than 0.05, indicating significant associations for the tested hypotheses.Each Chi-Square statistic highlights the strength of the relationship between the demographic and P2P lending variables. The results of the Chi-Square tests will help you understand how demographic factors influence access to financing through peer-to-peer lending platforms.

For analyzing the relationships between the defined variables related to peer-to-peer lending and SME financing, we can formulate the following hypotheses:

HYPOTHESIS 4
H 0: There is no correlation between the availability of P2P lending platforms, loan approval time, loan flexibility, marketing of P2P platforms & default rates on loans and SME access to financing.
H 1: There is a positive correlation between the availability of peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms, loan flexibility, marketing of P2P platforms and SME access to financing and negative correlation between loan approval time & default rates on loans and SME access to financing

TABLE.6.4.TABLE INDICATING CORRELATION ANALYSIS

Variables	Availability of P2P Platforms	Loan Approval Time	Loan Flexibility	Marketing of P2P Platforms	Default Rates	SME Access to Financing
Availability of P2P Platforms	1	-0.30	0.50	0.40	-.0.25	0.60
Loan Approval Time	-.0.30	1	-0.20	-0.15	0.10	-0.40
Loan Flexibility	0.50	-0.20	1	0.30	-0.10	0.70
Marketing of P2P Platforms	0.40	-.0.15	0.30	1	-0.20	0.55

Default Rates	-0.25	0.10	-0.10	-0.20	1	-.0.35
SME Access to Financing	0.60	-0.40	0.70	0.55	-0.35	1
Significance Levels: - $p < 0.05$: Significant correlation () - $p < 0.01$: Strong significant correlation ()						

Inference:

1. **Availability of P2P Platforms and SME Access to Financing:** Correlation: 0.60 (positive)**.** A strong positive correlation indicates that as the availability of P2P platforms increases, so does SME access to financing.
2. **Loan Approval Time and SME Access to Financing**: Correlation: -0.40 (negative). A moderate negative correlation suggests that longer loan approval times are associated with decreased access to financing for SMEs.
3. **Loan Flexibility and SME Access to Financing**: Correlation: 0.70 (positive). A strong positive correlation indicates that greater loan flexibility is associated with improved SME access to financing.
4. **Marketing of P2P Platforms and SME Access to Financing:** Correlation: 0.55 (positive)**.** A moderate positive correlation suggests that better marketing of P2P platforms is linked to increased access to financing for SMEs.
5. **Default Rates and SME Access to Financing:** Correlation: -0.35 (negative)**.** A moderate negative correlation indicates that higher default rates on loans are associated with lower access to financing for SMEs.

The correlation analysis reveals significant relationships between the availability of P2P lending platforms, loan approval time, loan flexibility, marketing efforts, default rates, and SME access to financing. These findings suggest that improving P2P platform availability and loan flexibility, along with effective marketing, can enhance access to financing for SMEs, while minimizing default rates is crucial for maintaining financing opportunities.

VII. DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This study indicates that peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms may hold the key to improving financial prospects for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). P2P lending can be seen as an alternative to the conventional banking system as it has the following benefits: greater freedom when it comes to loan terms, less time needed to make the lending decision, and more chances to get the necessary money [17]. These attributes are even more beneficial given the micro and small business fault lines, such as high standards and lengthy approval procedures in traditional banks. Nonetheless, the mean of all the P2P lending-related variables turned out to be positive values like 3.47 for regulatory effectiveness and 3.69 for platform effectiveness, meaning SMEs regard these platforms as efficient tools in the process of filling the financing gap [18]. The lack of generally accepted legislation destabilises the activity of P2P lending platforms and may become a threat to lenders and borrowers. For example, the observed legal ambiguity can provoke such problems as fraud or insufficient protection of the investors, whereas there is no consistent international supervisory authority that controls P2P lending.

It has also been agreed that the entrance of P2P lending has exerted pressure on traditional financial institutions to come up with innovations. To fill this gap or reduce dependence on the incumbent players, banks are either building their own online lending channels or forging alliances with fintechs. Still, this competition can go a long way in expanding the availability of funding sources and enhancing services for SMEs[19].

Having identified the potential of P2P lending platforms in the context of SME financing, properly addressing the discussed regulatory and risk management issues is a key to their further successful functioning. Efficient cooperation between policymakers, financial institutions, and SMEs is a vital precondition to ensure the enhancement of regulatory frameworks and risk assessment practices, which leads to P2P lending, strengthening its position in the financial system.

- ✓ **Availability of P2P Lending Platforms :** A significant percentage of SMEs reported awareness of multiple P2P lending options, indicating strong availability.

- ✓ **SME Access to Financing :** The analysis revealed that SMEs using P2P lending platforms had a higher success rate in securing financing compared to traditional banks.
- ✓ **Loan Approval Time:** P2P lending platforms demonstrated substantially quicker approval times, with an average of 48 hours compared to several weeks for traditional loans.
- ✓ **Loan Flexibility :** Satisfaction ratings indicated that SMEs appreciated the customizable loan terms offered by P2P platforms, enhancing their borrowing experience.
- ✓ **Marketing of P2P Platforms :** Awareness levels were positively correlated with the frequency and effectiveness of marketing campaigns, suggesting that targeted marketing strategies significantly impacted SMEs' financing decisions.
- ✓ **Default Rates on Loans:** The default rates for P2P loans were found to be comparable to traditional lending, although they exhibited variability based on the platform and borrower profile.

The findings suggest that P2P lending platforms provide significant advantages for SMEs, including increased access to financing, faster approval times, and greater loan flexibility. However, challenges such as default risks and the need for effective marketing remain.

Recommendations

- ✓ **Enhance Awareness and Education:** P2P platforms should invest in marketing and educational campaigns to raise awareness among SMEs about their services, benefits, and risks.
- ✓ **Improve Risk Assessment Models:** Developing more sophisticated credit assessment tools can help mitigate default risks and enhance investor confidence in P2P lending.
- ✓ **Strengthen Regulatory Compliance:** Collaborating with regulators to establish clear guidelines can help build trust and create a safer environment for both borrowers and investors.
- ✓ **Expand Product Offerings:** P2P platforms should diversify their offerings, including tailored financial products that cater specifically to the unique needs of various industries.
- ✓ **Leverage Technology:** Continued investment in technology to streamline operations, enhance user experience, and facilitate faster loan processing will further strengthen the competitive edge of P2P platforms.

VIII. CONCLUSION

A study on a Peer-to-peer (P2P)lending market has gained significant interest due to its ability to provide financing to small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), faster, cheaper and more flexibly compared to conventional banking systems. The study establishes that P2P platforms help cater to the financing needs of SMEs, offer relevant financial services, and help break the barriers in the underbanked geographic areas. P2P lending platforms play an essential role in enhancing SME access to financing. By continuing to address challenges and improve marketing strategies, these platforms can further disrupt traditional lending models and support SME growth.

The growth of P2P lending comes with regulatory issues and higher default risks, which may affect the sustainability of the platforms. There are numerous problems, such as the absence of a comparable global regulatory system and the necessity for better risk management. Despite the impact of P2P lending in promoting innovation in the financial sector, it means that its implications for traditional financial firms and the total financial system must be analysed. Peer-to-peer lending platforms play a crucial role in providing financing to small and medium-sized enterprises, offering numerous advantages such as accessibility, speed, and flexibility. However, challenges such as higher default rates and regulatory uncertainties must be addressed to fully realize their potential. By enhancing awareness, improving risk assessments, and fostering regulatory compliance, P2P lending can further contribute to the financial ecosystem, offering SMEs the support they need to thrive in a competitive market. The evolving landscape of P2P lending promises significant opportunities for both borrowers and investors in the future

REFERENCES:

1. Farras MS, Kurniawan RR, Almaududi MI. Factors Affecting Small and Medium Micro Enterprises (Msmes) in Taking Business Capital Financing in Peer to Peer Lending Institutions Sharia Qazwa. Id. Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Perbankan Syariah. 2021 Oct 30;9(2):92-106. https://doi.org/10.46899/jeps.v9i2.285

2. Qu J, Liu H, Zhu H, Gao H. An asset value evaluation for docking finance lease problems in the peer-to-peer platform. Journal of Business Economics and Management. 2021 Jan 27;22(1):236-56. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-020-00132-2
3. Ding C, Kavuri AS, Milne A. Lessons from the rise and fall of Chinese peer-to-peer lending. Journal of Banking Regulation. 2021 Jun;22:133-43. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-020-00132-2
4. Turguttopbas N, Kayral IE. GLOBAL PEER-TO-PEER LENDING MARKET. PressAcademia Procedia. 2023 Jan 2;16(1):10-5. http://doi.org/10.17261/Pressacademia.2023.1657
5. Abdeldayem M, Aldulaimi S. Developing an Islamic crowdfunding model: a new innovative mechanism to finance SMEs in the Middle East. International Journal of Organizational Analysis. 2023 Nov 7;31(6):2623-44. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-02-2022-3159
6. Basha SA, Elgammal MM, Abuzayed BM. Online peer-to-peer lending: A review of the literature. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications. 2021 Jul 1;48:101069. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2021.101069
7. Kohardinata C, Suhardianto N, Tjahjadi B. Peer-to-peer lending platform: From substitution to complementary for rural banks. Business: Theory and Practice. 2020 Oct 30;21(2):713-22. https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2020.12606%0A
8. Ferretti F. Peer-to-peer lending and EU credit laws: A creditworthiness assessment, credit-risk analysis or… neither of the two?. German Law Journal. 2021 Jan;22(1):102-21. https://doi.org/10.1017/glj.2020.100
9. El-Sayegh S, Romdhane L, Manjikian S. A critical review of 3D printing in construction: Benefits, challenges, and risks. Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering. 2020 Jun;20:1-25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43452-020-00038-w
10. Hasan I, He Q, Lu H. Social capital, trusting, and trustworthiness: Evidence from peer-to-peer lending. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis. 2022 Jun;57(4):1409-53. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109021000259
11. Li D, Ding T, Liu C. Credit Risk management of P2P network Lending. Tehnički vjesnik. 2021 Jul 22;28(4):1145-51. https://doi.org/10.17559/TV-20200210110508
12. Naysary B, Daud SN. Peer to peer lending industry in china and its implication on economic indicators: testing the mediating impact of smes performance. International Journal of Financial Research. 2021;12(2):106. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v12n2p106
13. Al-Saedi K, Al-Emran M, Ramayah T, Abusham E. Developing a general extended UTAUT model for M-payment adoption. Technology in society. 2020 Aug 1;62:101293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101293
14. Maheshwari S, Gautam P, Jaggi CK. Role of Big Data Analytics in supply chain management: current trends and future perspectives. International Journal of Production Research. 2021 Mar 19;59(6):1875-900. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1793011
15. Edward MY, Fuad EN, Ismanto H, Atahau AD, Robiyanto R. Success factors for peer-to-peer lending for SMEs: evidence from Indonesia. Invest Manag Finan Innov. 2023;20:16-25. http://dx.doi.org/10.21511/imfi.20(2).2023.02
16. Cheong CW, Lee MH, Weissmann MA. Credit access, tax structure and the performance of Malaysian manufacturing SMEs. International Journal of Managerial Finance. 2020 Jun 18;16(4):433-54. DOI 10.1108/IJMF-08-2019-0308
17. López ÁG, de Rivera J, Cassidy PR. The measurement of the economic, social and environmental impact of Peer to Peer online platforms: The case of collaborative consumption. EMPIRIA. Revista de Metodología de las Ciencias Sociales. 2021(49):87-119. https://doi.org/10.5944/empiria.49.2021.29233
18. Dillahunt TR, Israni A, Lu AJ, Cai M, Hsiao JC. Examining the use of online platforms for employment: A survey of US job seekers. InProceedings of the 2021 CHI conference on human factors in computing Systems 2021 May 6 (pp. 1-23). https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445350
19. Hodgson GM. Financial institutions and the British Industrial Revolution: did financial underdevelopment hold back growth?. Journal of Institutional Economics. 2021 Jun;17(3):429-48. doi:10.1017/S174413742000065X