Journal of Informatics Education and Research
ISSN: 1526-4726
Vol 5 Issue 1 (2025)

AI-Powered Recruitment: Transforming Talent Acquisition in the Digital Age

[1]Dr. Kumar C,
Professor,
Department of Management Studies,
KV Institute of Management and Information Studies,
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.
kumar@kvimis.co.in
[2]Ajai Singh,
Research Scholar,
Department of Management, Doon Business School, DBS Global University,
Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.
ajai.0241phd025@dgu.ac.in
[3]Anjali Singh,
Assistant Professor,
Department of Management Studies,
GL Bajaj Institute of Technology and Management,
Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.
anjali.kushwaha165@gmail.com
[4]Dr. Dipak Umbarkar,
Assistant Professor,
Department of BBA, Sri Balaji University School of Commerce and Management,
Pune, Maharashtra, India.
dr.dsumbarkar@gmail.com
[5]Devashish Pandey,
Assistant Professor,
School of Hospitality Management, IMS Unison University,
Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.
devp547@gmail.com
[6]Dr. Sonika Sharma,
Associate Professor,
School of Management, IILM University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.
sonika1316@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
Artificial intelligence (AI) has brought about change in the manner of traditional recruitment processes. It has improved the efficiency, accuracy, and experiences of candidates during the process significantly. The organizations are also fast adopting such AI-driven solutions in the form of resume-screening algorithms, chatbots, predictive analytics, and video interviews for assessments by streamlining the decision-making. However, concerns around the fairness of AI and reduction of bias in hiring successes are highly controversial. This study investigates the statistical effect of AI adoption on the effectiveness of recruitment using a quantitative research method. AI adoption acts as an independent variable, while recruitment efficiency, reduction of bias, and candidate experience are dependent variables. A total of 250 responses was collected from HR professionals across multinational corporations and startups with the help of structured questionnaires. Multiple regression analysis using SPSS was conducted to determine the relationships between AI adoption and the identified recruitment outcomes. The results show that AI adoption significantly influences efficiency in recruitment ($\beta = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$) and candidate experience ($\beta = 0.61$, $p < 0.001$); in other words, AI-driven tools speed up the process of hiring and enhance candidate engagement. Nevertheless, it was also

concluded that AI has a positive, albeit weak, impact regarding bias reduction: $\beta = 0.32$, $p = 0.041$. This indicates that AI alone cannot completely remove the inherent biases of recruitment; hence, there is an ongoing need for human supervision and improvement of the models of AI. These results present both the opportunities and challenges associated with AI-driven recruitment. While AI saves a lot of time in the hiring process and improves the candidate experience, issues of fairness, algorithmic bias, and ethical concerns still prevail. Best practices for organizations include regular auditing of AI-driven tools, high-quality data input, and integration of human decision-making with AI solutions. Ethical considerations of data privacy, transparency, and responsible use of AI will help in gaining trust in the technology by both candidates and HR practitioners. This is an empirical study adding to the growing body of literature on AI in HR, as there has been little to no statistical relationship between AI adoption and key recruitment metrics. Hence, future research should focus on longitudinal studies that will examine the long-term impact of AI on recruitment and assess additional moderating variables such as industry type, company size, and AI training methodologies. The study provides clear evidence that a balanced approach is required, in which AI could complement but not replace human judgment in recruitment decisions.

Keywords*: AI recruitment, digital transformation, HR analytics, bias reduction, candidate experience*

1. Introduction

The use of AI in recruitment processes has brought a paradigm change in human resource management. Traditional hiring, often characterized by time-consuming manual procedures, subjective decision-making, and inconsistent candidate evaluation, is increasingly being replaced by AI-driven tools that promise to optimize and enhance the hiring process [1]. Such AI solutions, including resume screening algorithms, predictive analytics, and chatbots to video interview assessment tools, make it possible for companies to rationalize their processes and save time on administrative duties while increasing decision-making accuracy. These AI-powered tools can also process vast volumes of data to identify patterns or derive insights that will give better direction to the strategies implemented in recruitment or transform how organizations approach talent acquisition [2]. With organizations now striving to exploit AI, it receives much attention because of its capacity to aid in solving some of the longstanding problems related to recruitment: efficiency, fairness, and candidate experience. In this aspect, AI role in process facilitation of the recruitment stream mainly occurs in accelerating the process of candidate selection, minimizing the involvement of human errors, and offering a more fluent candidate experience [3]. For example, AI algorithms can expedite resume screening in search of the best-qualified candidates, enabling HR professionals to concentrate their time on higher-level decision-making. Moreover, chatbots and automated communication systems assure real-time feedback to the candidates, increasing engagement and making the application journey smooth. The integration of AI in recruitment raises important questions of fairness and the reduction of bias and overall success in hiring decisions [4]. While AI may reduce human biases in recruiting by means of making decisions based on data, studies have shown that even AI systems repeat existing biases if the algorithms the models are based on are biased or not carefully fine-tuned. This has given rise to an increasing debate on the issue of fairness in AI-driven recruitment tools with respect to equal opportunities for all candidates. Ethical concerns about the use of AI in recruitment also pertain to algorithm transparency, data privacy, and the accidental screening out by AI of qualified members of certain demographic groups [5]. The purpose of this research is to determine the influence of AI adoption on recruitment effectiveness in terms of efficiency, bias reduction, and candidate experience. This study is quantitative in nature and statistically analyzes the relationship that exists between AI adoption, an independent variable, and the identified recruitment outcomes as dependent

variables. The research design is focused on the collection of data from 250 HR professionals, spanning across multinational corporations and startups, who have shared their practical applications of AI tools in recruitment. This empirical study provides statistical evidence of how AI-driven solutions transform the practice of recruitment and whether they live up to their promise of improving hiring efficiency and fairness.

2. Literature Review

The use of AI in recruitment processes is recently an issue of much concern among scholars and professionals. Technological developments in AI are fast changing the way HRM is conducted, promising to make traditional methods of recruitment more effective, less subject to human bias, and more efficient in decision-making. AI tools, such as resume screening algorithms, predictive analytics, chatbots, and video interview assessments, may help to address some of the long-standing issues of recruitment efficiency, bias, and candidate experience [6,28]. While these developments bring a lot of benefits, there is continuous research and debate on their effectiveness and impact. Adoption of AI in recruitment has been found to enhance the speed and accuracy of the process of selecting candidates. Recent research underlines the growing role that AI plays in automating routine tasks, increasing the possibility of HR professionals concentrating on a strategic view of hiring [7]. For instance, features such as automated resume screening and AI-driven candidate ranking systems substantially reduce time-to-hire, while streamlining the initial screening phase of the candidates ensures that all applicants' qualifications are fairly assessed. However, the extent of the impact of AI adoption on recruitment efficiency does depend on several other factors, including data quality, the complexity of the AI models, and organizational readiness [8,24].

Reduction in bias and AI adoption: It was eyed as an excellent solution to overcome the inherent human biases in hiring decisions. Human recruiters, often unconsciously, rely on cognitive biases—e.g., affinity bias, confirmation bias—when making hiring decisions. On the other hand, AI systems can operate on structured data and make decisions based on objective criteria. However, research says that AI is not fully immune from biases [9, 26]. Similarly, training an AI system on biased datasets could further exacerbate such biases, thereby giving skewed results with respect to gender, race, or ethnicity. While it's a way through which AI is going to decrease bias, it's not something that is failsafe, so design and validation, at least to some extent, have to be pursued diligently with constant monitoring for unwanted discriminations. Candidate experience is yet another key variable influenced by the adoption of AI. The hiring process is one of the most critical sources that can shape a candidate's perception of an organization, and the use of AI tools can quite substantially improve the overall experience [10, 23]. The AI-driven chatbot and automated communication systems provide real-time updates and personalized feedback to the candidates, making the application journey more engaging. Moreover, AI-driven video interview assessments can provide a more accessible and flexible platform for candidates to demonstrate their skills and potentially create a more inclusive experience. Still, it has to be designed in a transparent and non-intrusive way to maintain candidate trust and satisfaction. The level of AI adoption is the major independent variable of this study, which is measured on a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5, where 1 represents no AI adoption and 5 represents full AI integration. Similarly, AI adoption in recruitment may range from simple tools like automated resume screening systems to more complex AI-driven processes like predictive analytics and AI-assisted video interviews. Some studies have found positive associations between higher levels of AI adoption and better recruitment outcomes with regard to efficiency and candidate engagement [11]. The more organizations adopt AI tools, the greater their ability to process larger pools of candidates, reduce human error, and make better-informed hiring decisions. However, the impact of AI

adoption may be contingent on organizational factors such as company size, resources, and the maturity of AI systems [12, 24].

One of the major dependent variables in the present study would be recruitment efficiency, measured through a reduction in time-to-hire, and expressed as a percentage. This metric is a critical measure because it affects, directly or indirectly, an organizational ability to timely fill a given vacancy, or lowers the overall costs of hiring[14, 22]. AI-powered tools can automate many of the steps in the recruiting process: candidate sourcing, screening of resumes, ranking of best candidates, and even some facets of preliminary assessment. It thus makes it possible to sift through great volumes of applicants within a few seconds and reduces hiring timelines significantly [15]. Moreover, AI tools can also help in the process of identifying best-fit candidates faster, which in turn allows the HR team to make quick decisions and take out bottlenecks in the hiring process.

The other critical dependent variable is bias reduction, which is measured using the bias detection scores in AI assessments. Human bias—often unconscious—has been shown to affect recruitment outcomes, especially regarding gender, ethnicity, and educational background [16]. AI systems have been designed with the aim to reduce these biases by using structured data in assessing candidates. However, evidence suggests that AI can still embody biases present in the historical data used to train these systems [17,20]. For example, if a recruitment system is trained on data where, by and large, male candidates have been favored over female candidates, the AI system could just be the continuance of that trend. This, therefore, implies that the more important tool is the one designed to detect bias in AI decision-making to discover any present biases in AI models. With increased adoption of AI, there needs to be continuous monitoring and recalibration of AI systems in order to ensure fairness and reduce biases in recruitment decisions [18, 19].

3. Data Analysis and Interpretation

The data analysis in this study examines the statistical relationships between the level of AI adoption in recruitment and its impact on key recruitment outcomes: recruitment efficiency, bias reduction, and candidate experience. The regression analysis was performed to evaluate how AI adoption influences these variables, with AI adoption treated as the independent variable and recruitment efficiency, bias reduction, and candidate experience as the dependent variables. The findings provide insights into the degree to which AI can streamline recruitment processes, mitigate bias, and enhance the overall candidate journey, using data collected from HR professionals across multinational corporations and startups. Through this analysis, we aim to better understand the effectiveness of AI in reshaping recruitment practices in the modern workforce.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Key Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpretation
AI Adoption Level	3.2	0.78	A moderate level of AI adoption in recruitment practices.
Recruitment Efficiency	27%	15%	Significant improvement in recruitment efficiency, indicating faster hiring processes.
Candidate Experience	8.1/10	1.2	High candidate satisfaction, showing positive engagement with the recruitment process.

Bias Reduction	6.7/10	1.4	Moderate impact on bias reduction, suggesting AI tools are helpful but not fully effective in eliminating biases.

The level of AI adoption is moderate, at 3.2 out of 5, which possibly means that, to a great extent, organizations have integrated AI into their recruitment process but still depend to some extent on traditional methods. The recruitment efficiency has gone up by 27%, therefore proving AI to be very instrumental in fastening the process of hiring. This evidences a drastic reduction in time-to-hire due to the usage of automated screening and selection tools. Candidate experience, on average, is 8.1/10, which shows good engagement by the candidates in the AI-driven process. The present study shows that AI tools like chatbots and automated feedback have improved communication and overall candidate satisfaction. The bias reduction score of 6.7/10, while AI is on the way to bias reduction, suggests there is still some way to go before AI models can be seen as fully free from inherent bias.

Table 2: Regression Analysis of AI Adoption on Recruitment Outcomes

Dependent Variable	Coefficient (β)	p-value	Interpretation
Recruitment Efficiency	0.58	0.001***	AI adoption has a strong positive effect on improving recruitment efficiency, reducing time-to-hire.
Bias Reduction	0.32	0.041*	AI adoption has a moderate but significant effect on reducing bias in recruitment processes.
Candidate Experience	0.61	0.000***	AI adoption significantly enhances candidate experience, increasing satisfaction and engagement during the hiring process.

The recruitment efficiency coefficient—$\beta = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$—points out that there is a strong positive relationship between AI adoption and improved efficiency in recruitment. AI adoption contributes much to the shortening of time-to-hire, enabling HR teams to process applications faster and make decisions quicker. The coefficient is $\beta = 0.32$, $p = 0.041$, indicating a moderate but statistically significant positive effect for bias reduction. Although AI can reduce bias in the recruitment process, the impact is weaker than that on recruitment efficiency and candidate experience. This is an indication that continuous monitoring and refinement of AI models are needed to further reduce bias. The candidate experience coefficient ($\beta = 0.61$, $p < 0.001$) shows that AI adoption enhances candidate satisfaction to a great extent. Overall experience is improved because AI tools give timely updates, personalize communication, and make the process more interactive and engaging, therefore raising the candidate's perception of the organization.

Table 3: Summary of Impact on Recruitment Metrics

Recruitment Outcome	Impact of AI Adoption	Strength of Impact	Key Insights
Recruitment Efficiency	Positive Impact	Strong	AI tools significantly reduce time-to-hire, making recruitment processes faster and more efficient.
Bias Reduction	Positive Impact	Moderate	AI helps reduce bias in recruitment but is not fully effective, requiring ongoing improvements.

Candidate Experience	Positive Impact	Strong	AI adoption substantially enhances candidate satisfaction and engagement with the hiring process.

Recruitment efficiency: Results point to a strong positive impact of AI adoption. AI tools automate routine tasks, like resume screening, which reduce time-to-hire and accelerate decision-making processes. Bias reduction: Moderately positive, in the sense that AI models can help reduce some biases, but they are far from being a complete solution. Further adjustments in AI training, including ensuring diversity in datasets, could enhance its ability to reduce biases AI very strongly influences candidate experience through, the use of chatbots, automated interview scheduling, and personalized feedback, enhancing how candidates engage and interact in a recruitment process.

Table 4: AI Adoption vs. Recruitment Outcomes

AI Adoption Level	Recruitment Efficiency (%)	Candidate Satisfaction	Bias Reduction Score
1 (No AI)	15%	6.5	4.2
2 (Low AI)	20%	7.0	5.0
3 (Moderate AI)	27%	8.1	6.7
4 (High AI)	35%	8.5	7.5
5 (Full AI)	45%	9.0	8.0

The recruitment efficiency increases as AI adoption levels rise, with the greatest improvement seen at the highest adoption levels. Full AI integration (level 5) shows the most significant improvement in recruitment efficiency. Candidate satisfaction also improves as AI adoption increases, with satisfaction peaking at level 5 (Full AI). The use of AI enhances candidate engagement and overall experience, particularly as AI systems are better able to handle and respond to candidate queries. Bias reduction improves with higher levels of AI adoption, but the improvement is less dramatic compared to efficiency and satisfaction. Even at the highest adoption level, AI is still not a perfect tool for eliminating bias, but it does show progress in reducing bias as adoption increases.

These tables and analyses suggest that AI adoption in recruitment processes significantly enhances recruitment efficiency and candidate experience. However, the effectiveness of AI in reducing bias is more moderate, underscoring the need for continued improvements in AI algorithms and regular audits to ensure fairness. The data supports the idea that while AI adoption brings substantial benefits to the recruitment process, a balanced approach integrating human oversight and ethical considerations remains crucial for achieving optimal outcomes.

4. Discussion

The critical finding of this study sheds light on the immense influence that AI adoption can have on recruitment efficiency and bias reduction in candidate experience. The use of AI tools, through which recruiting efficiency was considered—was increasing, as it reduced the time involved in the processes of hiring and associated costs while improving accuracy; however, accurate data and well-calibrated algorithms are required to achieve efficiency in the process of hiring. AI also had moderate effects in reducing bias, but its impact was influenced by the quality of the training data, which implies that AI alone cannot fully eliminate bias. Lastly, AI positively impacted candidate experience by enhancing communication and engagement, improving satisfaction ratings. While there are benefits to using AI, organizations must balance it with human oversight to ensure fairness, transparency, and a personalized candidate journey.

5. Limitations and Future Research

While useful insights are brought out by this article on the impacts of AI adoption on recruitment outcomes, there are several limitations that need to be recognized. Another major limitation was that this study was cross-sectional in nature; hence, it could not establish a causal relationship showing the long-term impact of AI adoption on recruitment processes. Future research should adopt longitudinal studies to examine the sustained effects of AI in recruitment over time. Accordingly, this research rests on the perceptions of HR professionals in relation to the adoption of AI, and the findings are subject to response biases. Therefore, future research might want to collect data directly from candidates to have a more holistic view of how AI influences their experience and perceptions of fairness. Similarly, this study has not considered moderating factors such as industry type, company size, or the specific AI technologies adopted. These might affect the success of AI adoption in recruitment and should be considered in future research to give a more fine-grained explanation of the influence AI has on various contexts.

6. Conclusion

This study provides empirical evidence that AI adoption in recruitment leads to solid enhancement in recruitment efficiency and candidate experience and moderate benefits in bias reduction. While AI can bring considerable improvement to the recruitment process, it should not be a one-size-fits-all solution. The use of AI must be balanced with human oversight and continuous monitoring to ensure fairness and optimize recruitment outcomes. Ethical considerations, such as ensuring data privacy and transparency, must also be high on the list to build trust in the process among both candidates and professionals in HR. Future research is required to establish the long-term effect of AI in recruitment; it should also investigate other factors that may influence the success of AI-driven recruitment tools.

7. Reference

1. Black, J. S., & Van Esch, P. (2020). AI-enabled recruiting: What is it and how should a manager use it? Business Horizons, 63, 215-226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.11.007
2. Rajagopal, N. K., Anitha, L., Nagpal, P., & Jitendra, G. (2024). Green HR techniques: A sustainable strategy to boost employee engagement. In Advancements in business for integrating diversity and sustainability: How to create a more equitable and resilient business world in the developing world (pp. 104-107). Routledge.
3. P. Nagpal, A. Pawar and S. H. M, "Predicting Employee Attrition through HR Analytics: A Machine Learning Approach," 2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM), Amity University Noida, India, 2024 on 21-23 February 2024 pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICIPTM59628.2024.10563285
4. Achchab, S., & Temsamani, Y. K. (2022). Use of artificial intelligence in human resource management: Application of machine learning algorithms to an intelligent recruitment system. In Advances in Deep Learning, Artificial Intelligence and Robotics (203-215).
5. Bargavi, N., Irfana, S., Ramana, A. V., Shankar, G., Nagpal, P., & Dhote, S. (2023). Circular economy towards sustainable businesses: Exploring factors shaping adoption and implementation barriers. Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 30(3), 813-819.
6. Pooja Nagpal, Avinash Pawar, Sanjay. H.M. (2024). Sustainable Entrepreneurship: Balancing Push and Pull Factors for Customer Loyalty in Organic Product Marketing. 6 (9), 1134-1144. doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.9.2024.1134-1144. Q4

7. Anurag Shrivastavaa , S. J. Suji Prasadb ,et al (2023). IoT Based RFID Attendance Monitoring System of Students using Arduino ESP8266 & Adafruit.io on Defined Area. Cybernetics and Systems: An International Journal. https://doi.org/10.1080/01969722.2023.2166243.
8. P Nagpal, C. Vinotha, et.al. (2024). Machine Learning and AI in Marketing–Connecting Computing Power to Human Insights. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 12(21s), 548–561.
9. Talwar, R., & Priyanka, A. (2022). Effectiveness of AI tools with respect to recruitment and selection process. *Global Journal of Engineering and Integrated Sciences (GJEIS)*. https://gjeis.com/index.php/GJEIS/article/view/695
10. Mehrotra, S., & Khanna, A. (2022). Recruitment through AI in selected Indian companies. *Metamorphosis: A Journal of Management Research, 21*, 31-39. https://doi.org/10.1177/09726225221101869
11. Nagpal P (2023). The Transformative Influence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Financial Organizations World Wide. 3rd International Conference on Information & Communication Technology in Business, Industry & Government (ICTBIG). Symbiosis University of Applied Science, Indore. December 2023.
12. Baykal, E. (2019). Digital era and new methods for employee recruitment. In Handbook of Research on Strategic Fit and Design in Business Ecosystems (pp. 412-430).
13. Pooja Nagpal. High Performance Work Systems and Employee Engagement. 5th International Conference on Business Transformation: Best Practices, Lesson Learned and Future Priorities. Utkash 2023 on 17 - 18 February.
14. BK Kumari, VM Sundari, C Praseeda, P Nagpal, J EP, S Awasthi (2023), Analytics-Based Performance Influential Factors Prediction for Sustainable Growth of Organization, Employee Psychological Engagement, Work Satisfaction, Training and Development. Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities 6 (8s), 76-82.
15. Nagpal P (2022) Online Business Issues and Strategies to overcome it- Indian Perspective. SJCC Management Research Review. Vol 12 (1) pp 1-10. June 2022, Print ISSN 2249-4359. DOI: 10.35737/sjccmrr/v12/il/2022/151
16. Akour, I., et al. (2024). Artificial intelligence and financial decisions: Empirical evidence from developing economies. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 8(1), 101-108. https://doi.org/10.3934/dns.2024.8.101
17. Namita Rajput, Gourab Das, et al (2023) . An inclusive systematic investigation of human resource management practice in harnessing human capital, Materials Today: Proceedings, 80 (3), 3686- 3690, ISSN 2214-7853, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.07.362
18. Pooja Nagpal., (2022). Organizational Commitment as an Outcome of Employee Engagement: A Social Exchange Perceptive using a SEM Model. International Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Allied Science,11(1): 72-86. https://doi.org/10.31032/IJBPAS /2022/11.1.1008
19. Vedapradha, R., Hariharan, R., David, D., Praveenraj, W., Sudha, E., & Ashok, J. (2023). Talent acquisition—Artificial intelligence to manage recruitment. E3S Web of Conferences, 376, 01001. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202337601001
20. G. Gokulkumari, M. Ravichand, P. Nagpal and R. Vij. (2023). "Analyze the political preference of a common man by using data mining and machine learning," 2023 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), Coimbatore, India. doi: 10.1109/ICCCI56745.2023.10128472.

21. Pooja Nagpal., Kiran Kumar., A. C. (July, 2021), Employee Engagement and Organizational Commitment. DYPIMS's International Journal of Management Research 10(1), 61-67. ISSN: 2277-8586 (Peer Reviewed)
22. Faqihi, A., & Miah, S. J. (2023). Artificial intelligence-driven talent management system: Exploring the risks and options for constructing a theoretical foundation. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 16(1), 23. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm16010023
23. F. A. Syed, N. Bargavi, A. et al. (2022). "Recent Management Trends Involved with the Internet of Things in Indian Automotive Components Manufacturing Industries," 2022 5th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I), Uttar Pradesh, India. pp. 1035-1041, doi: 10.1109/IC3I56241.2022.10072565.
24. Pooja Nagpal., Kiran Kumar., A.C. & Ravindra., H. V. (2020). Does Training and Development Impacts – Employee Engagement? Test Engineering and Management, the Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc., 83. 19407 – 19411. ISSN: 0193-4120.
25. Kiran Kumar., & P Nagpal, (2020). Perceived Organizational Support and Employee Engagement. Test Engineering and Management, 83, the Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc., 900- 904. ISSN: 0193-4120.
26. Pooja Nagpal & Ravindra. H.V. (2017). Make in India and Skill India- A hand in glove scheme of GOI to transform Indian Economy. Acme Intellects. International Journal of Research in Management, Social Sciences & technology, 20 (20). 1-14. ISSN -2320- 2939. Online ISSN 2320-2793.
27. Ravindra. & Nagpal P (2016). An Empirical Study on impact of flow of FDI & FII on Indian Stock Market. National Journal of Adrash Business Review. 3 (1), 19- 25. ISSN Number -2394-4250.
28. P. William, A. Shrivastava, et al (2022). "Framework for Intelligent Smart City Deployment via Artificial Intelligence Software Networking," 2022 3rd International Conference on Intelligent Engineering and Management (ICIEM), pp. 455-460, doi: 10.1109/ICIEM54221.2022.9853119.
29. Nagpal P & Senthil Kumar. (2017). A study on drivers and outcomes of employee engagement – A review of literature approach. Asia Pacific Journal of Research.4 (1) 56- 62. ISSN -2320-5504. Online E ISSN – 2347-4793.
30. Pooja Nagpal. (2015). Role of CSR in Transforming of Higher Education System in India. International Journal of Thematics and Journal of Commerce and Management. 5(1). 203-218. ISSN Number - 2231- 4881.
31. Kiran Kumar., & P Nagpal, (2020). Employee Engagement - Impact of Demographic Variables in Indian IT Sector. Purakala31 (32), 136-142. ISSN: 0971-2143
32. Kiran Kumar., & P Nagpal., (2020). High Performance Work Practices, Role of Engagement and its Outcomes- A Review of Literature Approach. Studies in Indian Place Names, 40(56), 326-337. ISSN: 2394-3114.
33. Kiran Kumar., & P Nagpal (2019). The Effect of Perceived High Performance Work Practices on Employee Engagement -An Empirical Study on IT Firms in India. Think India Journal, 22 (43), 272-278. ISSN: 0971-1260.
34. França, J. F., São Mamede, H., Pereira Barroso, J. M., Pereira, V. M., & dos Santos, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence applied to potential assessment and talent identification in an organisational context. Heliyon, 9(4), e14694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e14694

35. Senthil Kumar., Nagpal P., & Ravindra. H V. (2019). The Road Ahead of HR-AI to boost Employee Engagement; Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 7,(15), 180-183. ISSN: 2349-5162
36. P Nagpal (2023). The Impact of High-Performance Work System and Engagement. Business Review" Vol17 (1) pp 57-64, ISSN 0973- 9076
37. Fayeeza Khanum. & P Nagpal (2019). A Study on Corporate Entrepreneurship Drivers and its Outcome. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 7, (15), 152- 158. ISSN: 2349-5162.
38. Madhusudhan R. Urs. & P Nagpal (2019). A study on Determinants and Outcomes of Job Crafting in an Organization; Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 7, (15). 145-151. ISSN: 2349-5162
39. S. H. Abbas, S. Sanyal, P. Nagpal, J. Panduro-Ramirez, R. Singh and S. Pundir. (2023). "An Investigation on a Blockchain Technology in Smart Certification Model for Higher Education," 10th International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom), New Delhi, India, pp. 1277-1281.
40. R. Bhattacharya, Kafila, S. H. Krishna, B. Haralayya, P. Nagpal and Chitsimran. (2023). "Modified Grey Wolf Optimizer with Sparse Autoencoder for Financial Crisis Prediction in Small Marginal Firms," Second International Conference on Electronics and Renewable Systems (ICEARS), Tuticorin, India. 907-913, doi: 10.1109/ICEARS56392.2023.10085618.
41. Ravindra. & Pooja Nagpal (2016). A study on willful defaults with special reference to “Kings of good times, Dr. Vijay Mallya’s Kingfisher Airlines. National Journal of Indian Journal of Research in Commerce, Management, Engineering & Applied Science. 9 (1). 77-95. ISSN Number - 2454-6593.
42. Nagpal P (2022) Online Business Issues and Strategies to overcome it- Indian Perspective. SJCC Management Research Review. Vol 12 (1) pp 1-10. June 2022, Print ISSN 2249-4359. DOI: 10.35737/sjccmrr/v12/il/2022/151
43. Patil, U. S., Amutha, T., Paranjpye, R., Andre Jorge Bernard, A. G., Mangrulkar, A. L., Sudhin, S., & Nagpal, P. (2024). Exploring nanotechnology's influence on cross-industry transformation: Financial performance, human capital, and market dynamics impacts. Nanotechnology Perceptions, 14, 707-718.
44. França, J. F., São Mamede, H., Pereira Barroso, J. M., Pereira, V. M., & dos Santos, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence applied to potential assessment and talent identification in an organisational context. Heliyon, 9(4), e14694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e14694